## ... Commutative Algebra...

integral extension of commutative rings $\mathfrak{O} / \mathfrak{o}$ : every $r \in \mathfrak{O}$ satisfies $f(r)=0$ for monic $f \in \mathfrak{o}[x]$

Recharacterization of integrality: $\alpha$ in a field extension $K$ of field of fractions $k$ of $\mathfrak{o}$ is integral when there is a non-zero, finitelygenerated $\mathfrak{o}$-module $M$ inside $K$ such that $\alpha M \subset M$. [Proven]

- For $\mathfrak{O}$ integral over $\mathfrak{o}$, if $\mathfrak{O}$ is finitely-generated as an $\mathfrak{o}$-algebra, then it is finitely-generated as an $\mathfrak{o}$-module.
- Transitivity: For rings $A \subset B \subset C$, if $B$ is integral over $A$ and $C$ is integral over $B$, then $C$ is integral over $A$.

Example: Function fields in one variable

Claim: For a PID $\mathfrak{o}$ with fraction field $k$, for a finite separable field extension $K / k$, the integral closure $\mathfrak{O}$ of $\mathfrak{o}$ in $K$ is a free $\mathfrak{o}$-module of $\operatorname{rank}[K: k]$.

Comment on proof: $\mathfrak{O}$ is torsion-free as $\mathfrak{o}$-module, but finitegeneration, to invoke the structure theorem, seems to need the separability:

Claim: For an integrally closed (in its fraction field $k$ ), Noetherian ring $\mathfrak{o}$, the integral closure $\mathfrak{O}$ of $\mathfrak{o}$ in a finite separable field extension $K / k$ is a finitely-generated $\mathfrak{o}$-module.

Comment: For such reasons, Dedekind domains (below) need Noetherian-ness, as a partial substitute for PID-ness. Separability of field extensions seems important, too!

Claim: For a finite separable field extension $K / k$, the trace pairing $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle=\operatorname{tr}_{K / k}(\alpha \beta)$ is non-degenerate, in the sense that, given $0 \neq \alpha \in K$, there is $\beta \in K$ such that $\operatorname{tr}_{K / k}(\alpha \beta) \neq 0$.

Equivalently, $\operatorname{tr}_{K / k}: K \rightarrow k$ is not the 0-map.
This follows from linear independence of characters: given $\chi_{1}, \ldots, \chi_{n}$ distinct group homomorphisms $K^{\times} \rightarrow \Omega^{\times}$for fields $K, \Omega$, for any coefficients $\alpha_{j}$ 's in $\Omega$,

$$
\alpha_{1} \chi_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n} \chi_{n}=0 \Longrightarrow \text { all } \alpha_{j}=0
$$

Corollary: For $\mathfrak{O}$ the integral closure of Noetherian, integrally closed $\mathfrak{o}$ (in its fraction field $k$ ) in a finite separable field extension $K / k$,

$$
\operatorname{tr}_{K / k} \mathfrak{O} \subset \mathfrak{o}
$$

Critical point in proofs of the above: Finitely-generated modules over Noetherian rings are Noetherian modules, and submodules $\mathfrak{O}$ of Noetherian modules are Noetherian, so $\mathfrak{O}$ is a finitely-generated $\mathfrak{o}$-module.

A module $M$ over a commutative ring $R$ (itself not necessarily Noetherian) is Noetherian when it satisfies any of the following (provably, below) equivalent conditions:

- Every submodule of $M$ is finitely-generated.
- Every ascending chain of submodules $M_{1} \subset M_{2} \subset \ldots$ eventually stabilizes, that is, $M_{i}=M_{i+1}$ beyond some point.
- Any non-empty set $S$ of submodules has a maximal element, that is, an element $M_{o} \in S$ such that $N \supset M_{o}$ and $N \in S$ implies $N=M_{o}$ 。

Claim: Submodules and quotient modules of Noetherian modules are Noetherian. Conversely, for $M \subset N$, if $M$ and $N / M$ are Noetherian, then $N$ is. That is, in a short exact sequence

$$
0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0
$$

(meaning that $A \rightarrow B$ is injective, that the image of $A \rightarrow B$ is the kernel of $B \rightarrow C$, and that $B \rightarrow C$ is surjective), Noetherian-ness of $B$ is equivalent to Noetherian-ness of $A$ and $C$.

Corollary: For $M, N$ Noetherian, $M \oplus N$ is Noetherian. Arbitrary finite sums of Noetherian modules are Noetherian.

Again, a commutative ring $R$ is Noetherian if it is Noetherian as a module over itself. This is equivalent to the property that every submodule (=ideal) is finitely-generated.

Claim: A finitely-generated module $M$ over a Noetherian ring $R$ is a Noetherian module.

Proof: Let $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n}$ generate $M$, so there is a surjection $\underbrace{R \oplus \ldots \oplus R}_{n} \longrightarrow M$ by

$$
r_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus r_{n} \longrightarrow \sum_{i} r_{i} \cdot m_{i}
$$

The sum $R \oplus \ldots \oplus R$ is Noetherian, and the image/quotient is Noetherian.

This completes the discussion of the proof that the integral closure $\mathfrak{O}$ of Noetherian, integrally closed $\mathfrak{o}$ in a finite, separable field extension $K / k$ is a finitely-generated $\mathfrak{o}$-module.

The end of the proof had $\mathfrak{O}$ inside a finitely-generated module:

$$
\mathfrak{O} \subset c^{-1} \cdot\left(\mathfrak{o} \cdot \alpha_{1}+\ldots+\mathfrak{o} \cdot \alpha_{n}\right)
$$

Finitely-generated modules over Noetherian rings $\mathfrak{o}$ are Noetherian, and submodules $\mathfrak{O}$ of Noetherian modules are Noetherian, so $\mathfrak{O}$ is Noetherian, so finitely-generated.

Then, for $\mathfrak{o}$ a PID, since $\mathfrak{O}$ is finitely-generated over $\mathfrak{o}$, structure theory of finitely-generated modules over PIDs says $\mathfrak{O}$ is free... it's not hard to show that an $\mathfrak{o}$-basis for $\mathfrak{O}$ is also a $k$-basis for $K \ldots$

Example: Function fields in one variable (over finite fields):
The polynomial rings $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ are as well-behaved as $\mathbb{Z}$. Their fields of fractions $\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$, rational functions in $X$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, are as well-behaved as $\mathbb{Q}$.

For that matter, for any field $E, E[X]$ is Euclidean, so is a PID and a UFD. E finite is most similar to $\mathbb{Z}$, especially that the residue fields are finite: quotient $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X] /\langle f\rangle$ with $f$ a prime (=positive-degree monic polynomial) are finite fields.

The algebra of integral closures of $\mathfrak{o}=\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$ in finite separable fields extensions of $k=\mathbb{F}_{q}(X)$ is identical to that with $\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}$ at the bottom.

But to talk about the geometry, it is useful to think about $\mathbb{C}[X] \ldots$

Since $\mathbb{C}$ is algebraically closed, the non-zero prime ideals in $\mathbb{C}[X]$ are $\langle X-z\rangle$, for $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

That is, the point $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is the simultaneous vanishing set of the ideal $\langle X-z\rangle$.

The point at infinity $\infty$ is the vanishing set of $1 / X$, but $1 / X$ is not in $\mathbb{C}[X]$, so we can't talk about the ideal generated by it...

Revise: points $z \in \mathbb{C}$ are in bijection with local rings $\mathfrak{o} \subset \mathbb{C}(X)$, meaning $\mathfrak{o}$ has a unique maximal (proper) ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, by

$$
\begin{gathered}
z \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{o}_{z}=\left\{\frac{P}{Q}: P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[X], Q(z) \neq 0\right\} \\
\mathfrak{m}_{z}=\left\{\frac{P}{Q}: P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[X], Q(z) \neq 0, P(z)=0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

That is, $\mathfrak{o}_{z}$ is the ring of rational functions defined at $z$, and its unique maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{z}$ is the functions (defined and) vanishing at $z$. These are also referred to as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{o}_{z} & =\text { localization at }\langle X-z\rangle \text { of } \mathbb{C}[X] \\
& \left.=S^{-1} \cdot \mathbb{C}[X] \quad \text { (where } S=\mathbb{C}[X]-(X-z) \mathbb{C}[X]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

These localizations of the PID $\mathbb{C}[X]$ are still PIDs.
In fact, again, each such has a single non-zero prime ideal $\langle X-z\rangle$.
In $\mathfrak{o}_{z}$ every proper ideal is of the form $(X-z)^{n} \cdot \mathfrak{o}_{z}$ for some $0<n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Again, the unique maximal ideal is $\mathfrak{m}_{z}=(X-z) \cdot \mathfrak{o}_{z}$.

As usual, instead of trying to evaluate something at $X=\infty$, evaluate $1 / X$ at 0 :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathfrak{o}_{\infty}=\{f(X)=g(1 / X): g \text { is defined at } 0\} \\
=\left\{\frac{P(1 / X)}{Q(1 / X)}: P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[X], Q(0) \neq 0\right\} \\
\mathfrak{m}_{\infty}=\left\{f(X)=g(1 / X) \in \mathfrak{o}_{\infty}: g(0)=0\right\} \\
=\left\{\frac{P(1 / X)}{Q(1 / X)}: P, Q \in \mathbb{C}[X], Q(0) \neq 0, P(0)=0\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

From one viewpoint, a (compact, connected) Riemann surface $M$ is/corresponds (!?) to a finite field extension $K$ of $k=\mathbb{C}(X)$.

The finite points of the Riemann surface $M$ are the zero-sets of non-zero prime ideals of the integral closure $\mathfrak{O}$ of $\mathfrak{o}=\mathbb{C}[X]$ in $K$. (In fact, the ring $\mathfrak{O}$ is Dedekind.)

Claim: For typical $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the prime ideal $\langle X-z\rangle=(X-z) \mathbb{C}[X]$ gives rise to $(X-z) \mathfrak{O}=\mathfrak{P}_{1} \ldots \mathfrak{P}_{n}$, where $n=[K: k]$. That is, $n$ points on $M$ lie over $z \in \mathbb{C}$ :

Proof: We can reduce to the case that $K=\mathbb{C}(X, Y)$ with $Y$ satisfying a monic polynomial equation $f(X, Y)=0$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{C}[X]$, and $f$ of degree $[K: k]$.

Then do the usual computation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{O} /(X-z) \mathfrak{O} & =\mathbb{C}[X, T] /\langle X-z, f(X, T)\rangle \\
& \approx \mathbb{C}[T] /\langle f(z, T)\rangle \\
& \approx \mathbb{C}[T] /\left\langle\left(T-w_{1}\right)\left(T-w_{2}\right) \ldots\left(T-w_{n}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \approx \frac{\mathbb{C}[T]}{\left\langle T-w_{1}\right\rangle} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{C}[T]}{\left\langle T-w_{2}\right\rangle} \oplus \ldots \oplus \frac{\mathbb{C}[T]}{\left\langle T-w_{n}\right\rangle} \\
& \approx \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathbb{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

for distinct $w_{j}$. By the Lemma proven earlier, $\mathfrak{O} /(X-z) \mathfrak{O}$ is a product of $n$ prime ideals.

For example, for the elliptic curve

$$
Y^{2}=X^{3}+a X+b \quad(\text { with } a, b \in \mathbb{C})
$$

where $X^{3}+a X+b=0$ has distinct roots, we have (!?) $\mathfrak{O}=$ $\mathbb{C}[X, Y] \approx \mathbb{C}[X, T] /\left\langle T^{2}-X^{3}-a X-b\right\rangle$ with a second indeterminate $T$, and the usual trick gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{O} /(X-z) \mathfrak{O} & =\mathbb{C}[X, T] /\left\langle X-z, T^{2}-X^{3}-a X-b\right\rangle \\
& \approx \mathbb{C}[T] /\left\langle T^{2}-z^{3}-a z-b\right\rangle \\
& \approx \mathbb{C}[T] /\left\langle\left(T-w_{1}\right)\left(T-w_{2}\right)\right\rangle \\
& \approx \frac{\mathbb{C}[T]}{\left\langle T-w_{1}\right\rangle} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{C}[T]}{\left\langle T-w_{2}\right\rangle} \\
& \approx \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}
\end{aligned}
$$

for distinct $w_{j}: \mathfrak{O} /(X-z) \mathfrak{O}$ is a product of 2 prime ideals.

To talk about points at infinity, either replace $\mathfrak{o}=\mathbb{C}[X]$ by $\mathfrak{o}=\mathbb{C}[1 / X]$, or use the local ring description:

Given a local ring $\mathfrak{o}_{z} \subset k=\mathbb{C}(X)$ corresponding to either $z \in \mathbb{C}$ or $z=\infty$, let $\mathfrak{O}$ be the integral closure of $\mathfrak{o}_{z}$ in $K=\mathbb{C}(X, Y)$.

The maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}_{z}$ of $\mathfrak{o}_{z}$ generates a product of prime (maximal) ideals in $\mathfrak{O}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{m}_{z} \cdot \mathfrak{O}=\mathfrak{P}_{1} \ldots \mathfrak{P}_{n} \quad(\text { with } n=[K: k])
$$

Pick a constant $C>1$. Doesn't matter much...
For each $z \in \mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$, there is the $(X-z)$-adic, or just $z$-adic, norm

$$
\left|(X-z)^{n} \cdot \frac{P(X)}{Q(X)}\right|=C^{-n}
$$

The $z$-adic completions of $\mathbb{C}[X]$ and $\mathbb{C}(X)$ are defined as usual. Hensel's lemma applies.

For $\mathbb{F}_{q}[X]$, the zeta function is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \quad Z(s)=\sum_{\text {monic } f} \frac{1}{\left(\# \mathbb{F}_{p}[X] /\langle f\rangle\right)^{s}}=\sum_{\text {monic } f} \frac{1}{q^{s \operatorname{deg} f}} \\
& \# \text { irred monics deg } d=\frac{\# \text { elements degree } d \text { over } \mathbb{F}_{q}}{\# \text { in each Galois conjugacy class }} \\
& =\frac{1}{d}\left(q^{d}-\sum_{\text {prime } p \mid d} q^{d / p}+\sum_{\operatorname{distinct} p_{1}, p_{2} \mid d} q^{d / p_{1} p_{2}}-\sum_{\operatorname{distinct} p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3} \mid d} q^{d / p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}}+\ldots\right) \\
& {[\text { continued...] }}
\end{aligned}
$$

