

Holonomic modules

①

Def) A left A_n -module of degree n is called holonomic. Zero module is holonomic,

n is minimal by Bernstein

Easy example) $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a holonomic module,

$$A_n(K) \overset{\text{Rings}}{\subset} \text{End}_K K[X]$$

Note: A_n not holonomic

For $n=1$, many examples: A_1

Let $I \neq 0$ be a left ideal of A_1

Recall that $d(A_1/I) \leq 2 \cdot 1 - 1 = 1$

$\Rightarrow d(A_1/I) = 1 \Rightarrow A_1/I$ holonomic

②

Prop (1) Submodules and quotients of holonomic A_n -modules are holonomic. Exact seq.

(2) ~~$\sum_{i=1}^m$ (Holonomic)~~ is holonomic
 \oplus Holonomic

Pf (1) Let M holonomic A_n -module,
 $N \subset M$ submodule. By 9.3.2,
 $n = d(N) = \max \{ d(N), d(M/N) \} \geq d(N) = n$
 $\geq d(M/N) = n$

By Bernstein $N, M/N$ holonomic

(2) Recall by 9.3.3,

$$d(M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_k) = \max_i d(M_i),$$

and \sum_i Holonomic \oplus Holonomic / \mathbb{A}^1

Corollary | Finitely generated torsion A_i -modules are holonomic (3)

PF | Let $\{u_i\}_1^n$ be generators of M f.g. ~~for~~ A_i

Let $\{b_i\}_1^n$ be associated annihilators, i.e.
 ~~$b_i u_i = 0$~~

As thus ~~$A_i u_i$~~ is a quotient of

$$A_i u_i \sim \underbrace{(A_i / A_i b_i)}_{\text{holonomic}} / (\ast), \quad \text{Thus}$$

$A_i u_i$ is holonomic & so is the sum. □

Thus for f.g. A_i modules
 holonomic \Leftrightarrow torsion

Observe that if $I \subseteq J$ are left ideals of A_n , ④
then I/J is torsion.

~~I/J~~ J/I torsion holonomic

Prop | Holonomic A_n -modules are torsion

Proof | Let M holonomic A_n . Let
 $0 \neq 0 \neq u \in M$.

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a) \phi: A_n &\rightarrow M, \\ a &\mapsto au, \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $\phi(A_n) \subseteq M$, so $d(\phi(A_n)) = n$.

Since $d(A_n) \stackrel{9.3.2}{=} \max \{ d(A_n/\ker \phi), d(\ker \phi) \}$

$$\Rightarrow d(\ker \phi) = 2n.$$

Thus $\ker \phi$ is non-trivial, so u is torsion.

For ~~any~~ M Fig over A_1

torsion \sim holonomic

Not true for $n \geq 2$

E.g. $M = A_n / (\partial_n A_n \partial_n)$

pf | Ex (9.5.2-3) ~~333~~

Recall | A module M over ring R is Artinian ⑥
if all descending ~~seqs~~ chains of submodules

$$N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \dots$$

is stationary

Thm | Let M left-module over ring R , N submod.
of M .

(1) M Artinian \iff every set of submodules has
~~an minimal element element not containing~~
a minimal element.

(2) M Artinian $\iff N$ and M/N Artinian

(3) For N' a submodule, s.t. $M = N + N'$
 N', N Artinian $\implies M$ Artinian.

PF (1) " \Rightarrow " M Artinian, $S \neq \emptyset$ collection of submodules. (7)

Suppose there is no minimal element.

Choose a submodule $N_n \in S$. Not minimal, so \exists submodule $N_{n+1} \in S$ s.t. $N_{n+1} \subsetneq N_n \rightarrow \leftarrow$

" \Leftarrow "

Let

$N_1 \supseteq N_2 \supseteq \dots$ be chain of submodules.

Let $S = \{N_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Let N_n be minimal guy, obv. stationary.

(2) " \Rightarrow " M Artinian, N submodul.

~~Any ~~sub~~ chain of submodules of N is ~~an~~ a chain of submodules of M , so N Artinian.~~

Let ~~π~~ $\pi: 0 \rightarrow N \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} N'' \rightarrow 0$

M Artinian \Rightarrow ~~sub~~ N Artinian.

Let ~~N_0''~~ $N_0'' \supseteq N_1'' \supseteq \dots$ be descending chain.

Let $M_i = g^{-1}(N_i'')$. So $M_i \supsetneq M_{i+1} \rightarrow \leftarrow$

Conversely, let ~~M~~ N', N'' be Artinian.

(8)

Let ~~M_0~~ $M_1 \supseteq M_2 \supseteq \dots$ ^{of} ~~M~~ M .

Let ~~M_i~~ $N_i'' = g(M_i)$, This is stationary,

so $M_i + N' = M_{i+1} + N'$ for $i \geq 0$,

N' Artinian $\Rightarrow f^{-1}(M_i) = f^{-1}(M_{i+1})$ for $i \geq 0$,

so M_i must terminate

(\Rightarrow) ~~M~~ Let $M = N + N'$, N, N' Art.

Then $M/N \cong (N'/N' \cap N)$ Artinian,

so M is.

Thm | Holonomic Modules are Artinian

PF | Let $M \supseteq N_0 \supseteq N_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq N_r$
 A_n -module

all have $d(\cdot) = n$

s/o $m(N_i) = m(N_{i+1}) + m(N_i/N_{i+1})$

Thus $m(M) = \sum_0^{r-1} m(N_i/N_{i+1}) + m(N_r) \geq r$

Not all A_n -modules are Artinian

E.g. A_n over itself

$A_n \times_n \supseteq A_n \times_n^2 \supseteq A_n \times_n^3 \supseteq \dots$

~~Defining length~~

Consider

$$N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_k$$

(10)

length

M left module over R

- Artinian
- Noetherian

$$N_i \subsetneq M$$

N_{i+1}/N_i is simple

Consider

$S = \{ \text{submodules of } \underbrace{M/N_k}_{\text{Artinian}} \}$

Let N_{k+1} be minimal element, then we obtain

$$N_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_k \subseteq N_{k+1}$$

Noetherian \Rightarrow terminates,

$$0 = N_0 \subseteq N_1 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_r = M$$

Fact

called composition series

The # of gaps ^(r) is called the length of M

Fact (Artin-Schreier) Well-defined

Schölium $l(M) \leq m(M)$ for M holonomic.

(11)

Pf

$$0 = M_0 \subsetneq M_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq M_l = M$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{Have: } \textcircled{1} M_i \text{ holonomic} \\ \textcircled{2} M_i/M_{i-1} \text{ holonomic} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow m(M) = \sum_1^l m(M_i/M_{i-1}) \geq l$$

Cor Let M holonomic A_n -module M , $m(M) = 1$
 $\Rightarrow M$ simple

Pf Suppose $0 \neq N \subset M$, then $N, M/N$ holonomic,

$$\text{so } m(M) = m(M/N) + m(N) \Rightarrow M/N = 0, \\ \begin{array}{ccc} \text{"} & \text{"} & \text{"} \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \Rightarrow M = N,$$

So M is simple

Thm] Let R be simple left Noetherian and M f.g. (12)

R -module. Then

$$(M \text{ Artinian, } R \text{ not Artinian}) \implies M \text{ cyclic}$$

(as a left R -module)

PF] Observe that $l(M)$ is well defined.

Idea: If $M = Ru + Rv$, want to show that $\exists a \in R$ st
 $M = R(u + av)$.

Induct on length: If $l(M) = 1 \implies M$ irr $\implies M = Ra$

for $a \neq 0$
 \uparrow
 M ✓

Suppose the statement holds for length $< r$, and
 $M = Ru + Rv$, $l(M) = r$.

$$\implies l(Rv) \text{ finite} \implies \exists a \in R \text{ st. } Rv^{ira} \subset Rv$$

Thus $l(M/Rv) < r$, so (by ind. hypothesis)

$$M = R(u + \lambda v) + Rv$$

for some $\lambda \in R$.

Rewriting, $M = Ru + \underbrace{Rv}_{\text{irr.}}$

Let $\phi: R \rightarrow M$
 morphism
 $r \mapsto ru$

Note: ϕ cannot be injective, since $(\text{im } \phi) \leq M$ ^{submodule} must be Artinian.

Thus $\exists 0 \neq d \in \text{Ker } \phi$.

~~Claim: $R(u+dv) = M$~~

R simple $\Rightarrow R \setminus R = R \Rightarrow R \setminus Rv \neq 0$.

Thus $\exists b \in R$ s.t. $dbv \neq 0$.

Claim: $M = R(u+dv)$.

$d(u+dv) = \underset{Rv}{dbv} \neq 0$.

Thus $Rdbv = Rv \Rightarrow v \in R(u+dv)$
 $\Rightarrow u \in R(u+dv)$

□

Cor | Holonomic modules are cyclic

Pf | M holonomic must be Artinian, A_n not Artinian ✓

Examples

(14)

Lemma Let M be a left A_n -module with a filtration Γ wrt. Bernstein filtration of A_n . Suppose $\exists c_1, c_2$ constants s.t.

$$\dim_K \Gamma_j \leq \frac{c_1 j^n}{n!} + \frac{c_2 (j+1)^{n-1}}{n!} \quad \left(\text{for } j \gg 0 \right)$$

Then M is holonomic \mathbb{A}^1 of multiplicity $\leq c_1$.

~~Cor~~ ~~A is f.g.~~

Proof Claim: Every f.g. submodule of M ~~is holonomic~~ ^{satisfies theorem.}

Proof of claim:

Let $N \subset M$ be f.g. ^{Then} N admits a good filtration ~~\mathcal{F}~~ (Chapter 8) Ω_j . ^{By Hilbert poly. $\chi(t)$.} By 8.32,

$$\exists r \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ s.t. } \Omega_j \subseteq \Gamma_{j+r} \cap N.$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\dim_K \Omega_j \leq \dim_K \Gamma_{j+r}}$$

$$\chi(j) = \dim_K \Omega_j \leq \frac{c_1 (j+r)^n}{n!} + c_2 (j+r)^{n-1}$$

$$\frac{c_1 (j+r)^n}{n!} + c_2 (j+r)^{n-1}$$

$j \gg 0$

$$\Rightarrow \deg \chi(t) \leq n.$$

$$\text{Bernstein} \Rightarrow \dim(N) = n = \deg \chi(t) \Rightarrow m(N) \leq c_1 \quad \checkmark$$

Now consider ascending chain

$$N_1 \subseteq N_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq N_r \quad \text{f.g. submodules.}$$

$\uparrow \quad \uparrow$
 holonomic $\deg n_i$

$$\Rightarrow m(N_i) = m(N_{i-1}) + m(N_i/N_{i-1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_2^r m(N_i/N_{i-1}) + m(N_1) = m(N_r) \leq c_1$$

\Rightarrow all ascending chains of f.g. submodules have length $< c_1$

~~$\Rightarrow M$ is f.g. ✓~~

$\Rightarrow M$ is f.g.

Let $k(X) = k(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be the field of
rat'l fns. The left action of A_n on $k[X]$ extends
naturally, with x_i acting by mult, and

$\partial_i \cdot \frac{f}{g}$ by quotient rule

$$\partial_i \left(\frac{f}{g} \right) = \frac{\partial_i(f)g - f\partial_i(g)}{g^2}$$

Fixing some ^{nonzero} polynomial $p \in k[X]$, one can consider
 $k[X, p^{-1}] = \left\{ \frac{f}{p^r} \mid f \in k[X], r \geq 0 \right\}$

Since partial derivatives of such fns have denominator

$p^{2r} \Rightarrow k[X, p^{-1}]$ is a left A_n -submodule
of $k(X)$.

Then $M = K[X, p^{-1}]$ is holonomic w/ $m(M) = \deg p$
 $\leq (\deg p + 1)^n$

(17)

Proof Let $m = \deg p$,

let $\Gamma_n = \left\{ \frac{f}{p^k} \mid \deg(f) \leq (m+1)k \right\}$

Claim: Γ is a filtration of M .

① Let $\frac{f}{p^k} \in M$, $\deg(f) = s$. Then

$$\frac{f}{p^k} = \frac{f \cdot p^s}{p^{s+k}}$$

Now $\deg(f p^s) = sm + s = s(m+1) \leq (m+1)(s+k)$

$\Rightarrow \frac{f}{p^k} \in \Gamma_{s+k} \Rightarrow M = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \Gamma_k$ ✓

② Let $\frac{f}{p^k} \in \Gamma_k$, $\deg(f) \leq (m+1)k$

$$\Rightarrow x_i \left(\frac{f}{p^k} \right) = \frac{x_i f p}{p^{k+1}} \in \Gamma_{k+1}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i \left(\frac{f}{p^k} \right) &= \frac{p^k \partial_i(f) - f \partial_i(p^k)}{p^{2k}} \\ &= \frac{p \partial_i(f) - f k \partial_i(p)}{p^{k+1}} \end{aligned}$$

whose numerator has $\deg \leq (m+1)k + (m-1)$

(18)

$$\leq (m+1)(k+1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_i \left(\frac{f}{p^k} \right) \in \Gamma_{k+1},$$

$$\text{Thus } B_i \cdot \Gamma_k \subseteq \Gamma_{k+1} \Rightarrow B_i \Gamma_k \subseteq \Gamma_{i+k} \quad \checkmark$$

(3) $\dim(\Gamma_k) \leq \dim$ vect. space of poly. of $\deg \leq (m+1)k$

$\Rightarrow \Gamma_k$ is f.d.

So Γ is a filtration and

$$\dim_n \Gamma_n \leq \binom{(m+1)k+n}{n}$$

Calculation shows:

$$\dim_k \Gamma_k \leq \frac{(m+1)^n k^n}{n!} + \frac{(m+1)^{n-1} (n+1)n (k+1)^{n-1}}{n!}$$

for $k \geq 0$

\Rightarrow (by Lemma 3.1) that

M is holonomic, $m(M) \leq (m+1)$.

\square

Bernstein polynomial

19

Fix $p \in k[X]$. Let s be a variable, $K(s)$ field of rat'l fns.
Let $A_n(s)$ be the Weyl algebra over $K(s)$.

Let $A_n(K(s))p^s$ denote the $A_n(K(s))$ -module generated
by p^s , a formal symbol, s.t.

$$\partial_j \cdot p^s = s p^{s-1} \partial_j(p) \cdot p^s$$

$$\partial_j \cdot p^s = s p^{s-1} \partial_j(p) \cdot p^s$$

~~Let τ be an automorphism of~~

$$A_n(K(s))p^s \subset K(s)[X, p^{-1}]p^s,$$

Let τ be the anti of $K(s)[X, p^{-1}]p^s$ s.t.
 $\tau(s^i p^s) = (s+1)^i p \cdot p^s$

N.B. $A_n(k)$ -linear,
not $A_n(K(s))$ -lin.

Thm | Let $p \in K[X]$.

(20)

There exist $B(s) \in K[s]$ and a differential operator
polyn.

$D(s)$ in $A_n(K)[s]$ s.t.

$$B(s)p^s = D(s)p p^s$$

Proof | Fact: $K(s)[X, p^{-1}]p^s$ is holonomic. Analogous to proof that
 $K[X, p^{-1}]$ is holonomic.

$\Rightarrow A_n(s)p^s$ is holonomic. Thus it has finite length, and

$$A_n(s)p^s \supseteq A_n(s)p \cdot p^s \supseteq A_n(s)p^2 \cdot p^s \supseteq \dots$$

is stationary.

so $\exists K > 0$ s.t.

$$p^K \cdot p^s \in A_n(s)p^{K+1} \cdot p^s$$

\Rightarrow (after applying t^{-K}) that $p^s \in A_n(s)p \cdot p^s$.

We can clear denominators and obtain that $\exists B(s) \in K[s]$ s.t.

$$B(s)p^s \in A_n(K)[s]p \cdot p^s.$$

\square

Note: $(B(s), D(s))$ not uniquely determined, but
set of $B(s)$ is an ideal and has a unique
monic generator, called the Bernstein polynomial.

(2)

Hard to calculate generally.