

Remember $\mathbb{F}_q((t))$: Laurent series in t (as opposed to $\mathbb{F}_q(t)$ - global function field - with rational functions in t .)

pf (sketch):

We construct continuous homoms.

$$g_n : \mathbb{Z}_p^{\star r} \rightarrow U^{(n)} = 1 + t^n \mathbb{F}_q[[t]] \quad \text{for each } n \text{ s.t. } \gcd(n, p) = 1$$

by choosing basis $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_r$ for $\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_p$

$$(a_1, \dots, a_r) \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + \omega_i t^n)^{a_i}$$

Then map $g := \prod_{(n,p)=1} g_n : \underbrace{\prod_{(n,p)=1} \mathbb{Z}_p^r}_{\cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{N}}, \text{ compact}} \rightarrow U^{(1)} \quad g: \text{continuous.}$

show g is isomorphism.

Thm: If K is p -adic local field, $K^\times \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/(q-1)\mathbb{Z} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}/p^a\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^d}_{U^{(1)}}$
 if $\deg K/\mathbb{Q}_p = d, a \gg 0$.

pf: key step is assertion that there exists continuous isomorphism

$$\log : U^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_v^n = \pi^n \cdot \mathcal{O}_v \cong \mathcal{O}_v$$

for n sufficiently large.

If we know this, then \mathcal{O}_v : int. closure of \mathbb{Z}_p in K (proved last time since K extends val. on \mathbb{Q}_p)

so has integral basis over \mathbb{Z}_p . (long ago proof, uses \mathbb{Z}_p is P.I.D. proves $\underline{d} \cdot \mathcal{O}_v \subseteq$ integral basis where \underline{d} is disc. of size $\deg(K/\mathbb{Q}_p)$)

i.e. for n sufficiently large,

$$U^{(n)} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^d \quad \text{But } U^{(1)}/U^{(n)} \text{ finite, so done by structure of modules over P.I.D.}$$

In the process, we've determined only remaining torsion elts are those
 in this ~~...~~, i.e. have ^{only} p^{th} roots of unity. $|u^{(n)} / u^{(n)}| = g^n = p^{rn}$
 $u^{(n)} / u^{(n)}$ _{power} so $a \leq rn$

But not necessarily the whole gp.

Remains to prove key step: $\log: u^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{O}_v^n$ for n suff. large.

Makes sense that we should seek out such a map since $u^{(n)}$ structure
 as \mathbb{Z}_p module is multiplicative, \mathcal{O}_v structure is additive.

Define logarithm using power series. Funny issue: exponential is only
 defined (i.e. convergent) on sufficiently large powers of \mathfrak{p}_v
problem: valuations of factorials.

Proposition: For \mathfrak{p} -adic number field K , \exists uniquely def'd hom.

$\log: K^\times \rightarrow K$ s.t.:

- (1) $\log p = 0$
- (2) If $1+x \in 1+\mathfrak{p}$, then

$$\log(1+x) = x - \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{3} - \dots$$

pf: First show (2) defines convergent power series, i.e. in this non-arch. setting,
 just have to check that $|\frac{x^n}{n}|_K \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Since K is p -adic, it is an extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and so, given according to the formula for extending 1.1p

~~in terms of additive valuations:~~

in terms of additive valuations: $v_K(\alpha) = \frac{1}{n} v_p(N_{H/K}(\alpha))$

$v_K\left(\frac{x^n}{n}\right) = n \cdot v_K(x) - v_K(n)$ Now: $v_K(x) > 0$ since $x \in \mathfrak{o}_K$

$v_K(n) = v_p(n) \leq \frac{\ln n}{\ln p}$

so $\geq n \cdot \frac{\ln c}{\ln p} - \frac{\ln n}{\ln p}$

creative way to write $v_K(x) > 0$ for some $c > 1$

\ln : usual natural log.
(= if n is prime power.)

$\frac{\ln(c^n/n)}{\ln p} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$

Moreover, the identity of formal power series

$\log((1+x)(1+y)) = \log(1+x) + \log(1+y)$, converging if $1+x, 1+y \in \mathbb{Z}^{(1)}$

gives us a homomorphism.

To define \log on K^\times , note any elt. $\alpha \in K^\times$ has form

(*) $\alpha = \pi^{v_{\mathfrak{f}}(\alpha)} \omega(\alpha) \cdot \langle \alpha \rangle$ with $\omega(\alpha) \in \mu_{p-1}$
 $\langle \alpha \rangle$: image in $\mathbb{Z}^{(1)}$ elt. of $1 + \mathfrak{f}$

and $(\pi) = \mathfrak{f}$.

so if we want $\log(p) = 0$,

In particular

$p = \pi^e \omega(p) \cdot \langle p \rangle$, $\log(p) = 0$

then set $\log \pi = -\frac{1}{e} \log \langle p \rangle$

defined already by power series.

Now in (*), $\log \alpha = v_{\mathfrak{f}}(\alpha) \log \pi + \log \langle \alpha \rangle$.

check that resulting definition gives continuous homom. $\log: K^* \rightarrow K$.

$$\log(\alpha\beta) = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha\beta) \log \pi + \log \langle \alpha\beta \rangle$$

$$= (v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) + v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)) \log \pi + \log \langle \alpha\beta \rangle$$

If $\alpha = \pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha)} \cdot \omega(\alpha) \langle \alpha \rangle$
 $\beta = \pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)} \cdot \omega(\beta) \langle \beta \rangle$

then $\alpha\beta = \pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha) + v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\beta)} \underbrace{\omega(\alpha)\omega(\beta)}_{\in \mathbb{M}_{\mathfrak{p}-1}} \underbrace{\langle \alpha \rangle \langle \beta \rangle}_{= \langle \alpha\beta \rangle}$

~~now $\alpha\beta = \pi^{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha\beta)} \cdot \omega(\alpha\beta) \langle \alpha\beta \rangle$~~
 Done if we can show $\langle \alpha\beta \rangle = \langle \alpha \rangle \langle \beta \rangle$
 by uniqueness of rep'n.
 so indeed get homom.

now continuity need only be checked at 1, which is easy.

Final claim that any such cont. homom. $\lambda: K^* \rightarrow K$ restricting to \log as power series on $\mathcal{U}^{(1)}$, $\lambda(p) = 0$, agrees with our extension (i.e. uniquely det'd)

Use identity again: $\beta = \pi^e \omega(\beta) \langle \beta \rangle$

to show if the λ, \log agree on $\mathbb{M}_{\mathfrak{p}-1}$, then must agree on π , so match on all $\alpha \in K^*$ according to unique decomp. as above.

But $\lambda(\xi) = \frac{\lambda(\xi^{q-1})}{q-1}$ since λ homom. to K

$\xi \in \mathbb{M}_{\mathfrak{p}-1}$

$= \frac{\lambda(1)}{q-1}$ and $\lambda(1) = 0$ according to power series def'n. //

Theorem: K/\mathbb{Q}_p : p-adic local field, $\mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{f}$: valuation ring

write $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathcal{O}_\mathfrak{f} = \mathfrak{f}^e, e \geq 0$.

(DVR so all ideals of form \mathfrak{f}^k some k where \mathfrak{f} : unique max ideal)

Then letting $\exp(x) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m!}$,

the maps: $\mathfrak{f}^n \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{U}^{(n)}$ are inverse isomorphisms/homomorphisms if $n > \frac{e}{p-1}$.
 $\xleftarrow{\log}$

pf: Want to find n s.t. $\log: \mathcal{U}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{f}^n$. i.e. $v_\mathfrak{f}(\log(1+z)) = v_\mathfrak{f}(z) = n$ if $z \in \mathfrak{f}^n$

$\log(1+z)$ is limit of partial sums $\sum_{m=1}^k (-1)^{m+1} \frac{z^m}{m}$

whose valuation \geq min of vals. of summands $\frac{z^m}{m}$.

Can use v_p for \mathbb{Q}_p or $v_\mathfrak{f}$ for K , know they are related by, at least on \mathbb{Q}_p , $v_\mathfrak{f} = e \cdot v_p$ since $\mathfrak{p} \cdot \mathcal{O}_V = \mathfrak{f}^e$. Some can use $v_p := \frac{1}{e} v_\mathfrak{f}$ on K

$v_p\left(\frac{z^m}{m}\right) - v_p(z) = (m-1)v_p(z) - v_p(m) \geq 0$ so that $v_\mathfrak{f}(\log(1+z)) = v_\mathfrak{f}(z)$

Need an estimate on $\frac{v_p(m)}{m-1}$.

↑
WANT for n big enough
||
 $v_\mathfrak{f}(z)$
||
 $e \cdot v_p(z)$

Write $m = p^a \cdot m_0$ with $\gcd(m_0, p) = 1$

$\frac{v_p(m)}{m-1} = \frac{a}{p^a \cdot m_0 - 1} \leq \frac{a}{p^a - 1} = \frac{1}{p-1} \cdot \frac{a}{\underbrace{p^{a-1} + \dots + p + 1}_{a \text{ factors}}} \leq \frac{1}{p-1}$

So if we choose $v_p(z) \geq \frac{1}{p-1}$ i.e. $v_f(z) \geq \frac{e}{p-1}$, then

difference of valuations is non-negative, for all summands, so $v_f(\log(1+z)) = v_f(z) = n$ as desired.

In other direction, show if $x \in \mathfrak{f}^n$, then $\exp(x)$ converges provided

that $n > \frac{e}{p-1}$. Key: Estimate valuations of $m!$

and can play similar games with summands to show, if $m > 1$,

$$v_p\left(\frac{x^m}{m!}\right) - v_p(x) \geq 0.$$

Then with such $n \gg 0$, $\exp \cdot \log(1+z) = 1+z$, $\log \exp(x) = x$

as identities of formal power series, all of which converge for this n . //