

Next, classify valuations of \mathbb{Q} up to equivalence.

mult valuation $v: K^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ with ~~multiplicative~~

(abs. value) $|\cdot|_v$

(i) $|a|_v = 0 \iff a = 0$

(ii) $|ab|_v = |a|_v |b|_v$

(iii) $|a+b|_v \leq |a|_v + |b|_v$

And such absolute value

gives metric $d(x,y) = |x-y|$

on K , with induced topology.

Definition: Two valuations are equivalent if they induce the same topology.
(abs. values)

Proposition: Two valuations $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$ induce same topology \iff

\exists real $\# s > 0$ with $|x|_1 = |x|_2^s \quad \forall x \in K$

H: if $|\cdot|_1 = |\cdot|_2^s$ with $s > 0$, then they must define same topology.

(\Leftarrow) (open sets are $x \in K$ s.t. $|x| < c, c \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$.)
about 0

(\Rightarrow) if $|x| < 1$, then $\{x^n\}$ converges in topology induced from $|\cdot|$.

But then if $|\cdot|_1$ and $|\cdot|_2$ are equivalent,

$|x|_1 < 1 \implies |x|_2 < 1$.

Given $y \in K$ with $|y|_1 > 1$ then given any $x \neq 0 \in K$

$\exists \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x|_1 = |y|_1^\alpha$. Let $\{\frac{n_i}{n_i}\}_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ converging to α

from above. Take $n_i > 0$.

Then $|x|_1 = |y|_1^\alpha < |y|_1^{m_i/n_i} \quad \forall i \Rightarrow \left| \frac{x^{n_i}}{y^{m_i}} \right| < 1 \quad \forall i$ (20)

$$\Rightarrow \left| \frac{x^{n_i}}{y^{m_i}} \right|_2 < 1 \quad (\text{since } |\cdot|_1, |\cdot|_2 \text{ equivalent}) \quad \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow |x|_2 \leq |y|_2^{m_i/n_i} \Rightarrow |x|_2 \leq |y|_2^\alpha \quad \forall i$$

Now repeat with sequence converging to α from below. Get: $|x|_2 \geq |y|_2^\alpha$

so $|x|_2 = |y|_2^\alpha$. But then $\frac{\log |x|_1}{\log |x|_2} = \frac{\log |y|_1}{\log |y|_2} =: s$

and so $|x|_1 = |x|_2^s$. Since $|y|_1 > 1 \Rightarrow |y|_2 > 1$, then $s > 0$. //

Note in the course of the proof, we showed that $|\cdot|_1 \sim |\cdot|_2 \Leftrightarrow$

$$|x|_1 < 1 \Rightarrow |x|_2 < 1 \quad \forall x \in K.$$

Use this in:

Approximation Theorem: Let $|\cdot|_1, \dots, |\cdot|_n$ be pairwise inequivalent abs. values on K . $a_1, \dots, a_n \in K$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$, $\exists x \in K$ s.t.

$$|x - a_i|_i < \epsilon \quad \forall i=1, \dots, n$$

(Nebirkh: Version of Chinese Remainder Thm. $\epsilon = \frac{1}{p^k}$ for $x \equiv a_i \pmod{p^k}$ w.r.t. p -adic valuation)

pf: Show $\exists y \in K$ with $|y|_1 > 1$, $|y|_n \leq 1$, and so by induction $\exists z \in K$ with $|z|_1 > 1$, $|z|_i \leq 1 \quad i=2, \dots, n$.

then $z^m / (1+z^m) \rightarrow 1$ w.r.t. $|\cdot|_1$. Pick m_0 large,
 $\rightarrow 0$ w.r.t. $|\cdot|_i$ $i=2, \dots, n$ call $z_i := \frac{z^{m_0}}{1+z^{m_0}}$

then at last pick $x = a_1 z_1 + \dots + a_n z_n$.

To show initial y exists, then use fact that $|\cdot|_1 \neq |\cdot|_n \Rightarrow$

$\exists \alpha$ with $|\alpha|_1 < 1, |\alpha|_n \geq 1$ set $y = \beta/\alpha$. This is desired y .
 β with $|\beta|_n < 1, |\beta|_1 \geq 1$

Classify valuations into two groups based on whether $\{ |n| \}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$ is bounded or unbounded
 "non-archimedean" "archimedean"

Easy fact: An absolute value is non-archimedean $\Leftrightarrow |x+y| \leq \max\{|x|, |y|\}$
 $\forall x, y \in K$.

(\Leftarrow) $|n| = | \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{n \text{ times}} | \leq |1| = 1$

(\Rightarrow) binomial theorem relating n to $|x+y|$:

$$|x+y|^n \leq \sum_i \binom{n}{i} |x|^i |y|^{n-i} \leq N \cdot (n+1) |x|^n$$

\uparrow assume w.l.o.g. $|x| \geq |y|$ i.e. $|x|$ is max.
 \nwarrow abs. bound on $|m|$ with $m = \binom{n}{i}$ & m
 \nearrow # of terms in sum.

Now take n^{th} roots and then lim as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$|x+y| \leq \underbrace{N^{1/n} (n+1)^{1/n}}_{\rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty} |x|$$

Use this dichotomy in proof of today's main result:

Thm: Every valuation of \mathbb{Q} is equivalent to $|\cdot|_p$ for some p or to $|\cdot|_\infty$, the usual Archimedean absolute value. (Assuming non-trivial)

pf: Suppose $|\cdot|$ is non-archimedean. Then $|n| = \underbrace{|1+\dots+1|}_{n \text{ times}} \leq 1$ (*)

and \exists prime p with $|p| < 1$, else any rational $p_1^{e_1} \dots p_r^{e_r}$, $e_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ($\neq 0$) has abs. value 1. (trivial absolute value)

claim: $\mathfrak{o} := \{ a \in \mathbb{Z} \mid |a| < 1 \} = p \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ (with $|p| < 1$ as above)

pf: \mathfrak{o} is ideal in \mathbb{Z} by definition, and $\mathfrak{o} \neq \mathbb{Z}$ but $\mathfrak{o} \neq \{0\}$ and $p\mathbb{Z}$ maximal, so $\mathfrak{o} = p\mathbb{Z}$.

Given $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, write $a = p^m \cdot b$ with $\gcd(b, p) = 1$. Then

$b \notin p\mathbb{Z}$ and $|b| \leq 1$ by (*) so $|b| = 1 \Rightarrow |a| = |p|^m = |a|_p^s$

i.e. is equivalent to $|\cdot|_p$ on \mathbb{Z} and hence on \mathbb{Q} .

where $s = \frac{-\log |p|}{\log p}$

Now suppose $|\cdot|$ is archimedean.

claim: $|m|^{1/\log m} = |n|^{1/\log n}$ for every pair m, n of positive integers (> 1)

if we can show the claim, then let c be this constant $= |n|^{1/\log n} \forall n$. so that $|n| = c^{\log n}$ and taking s s.t. $c = e^s$ implies

for $x = \frac{a}{b}$, $|x| = e^{s \log x} = |x|_\infty^s$ / Now to prove claim...

Proof of claim that $|m|^{1/\log m} = |n|^{1/\log n} \quad \forall m, n \in \mathbb{N}_{>1}$

$$m = a_0 + a_1 n + \dots + a_r n^r \quad \text{with } a_i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}, \quad n^r \leq m.$$

then since $r \leq \log m / \log n$,

$$|m| \leq \sum_i |a_i| |n|^i \leq |n|^r \cdot \sum_i |a_i|$$

using that $n > 1$
 $\Rightarrow |n| > 1$

get this because, for archim.
valuation, any subsequence
of ~~all~~ integers $\rightarrow \infty$
must have unbounded
valuations. (Not nec.
true according
to our
def'n....)

MISTAKE IN NEUKIRCH?

see Weil's Basic Number
Theory for fix.