

Variants of the Entropy Power Inequality

Sergey G. Bobkov and Arnaud Marsiglietti^{ID}

Abstract—An extension of the entropy power inequality to the form $N_r^\alpha(X+Y) \geq N_r^\alpha(X) + N_r^\alpha(Y)$ with arbitrary independent summands X and Y in \mathbb{R}^n is obtained for the Rényi entropy and powers $\alpha \geq (r+1)/2$.

Index Terms—Entropy power inequality, Rényi entropy.

I. INTRODUCTION

Given a continuous random vector X in \mathbb{R}^n with density f , define the (Shannon) entropy and the associated entropy power

$$h(X) = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \log f(x) dx,$$

$$N(f) = N(X) = \exp\left\{\frac{2}{n} h(X)\right\}.$$

Serving as measures of “chaos” or “randomness” hidden in the distribution of X , these functionals possess a number of remarkable properties, especially when they are considered on convolutions. For example, we have the famous entropy power inequality (EPI), fundamental in Information Theory. It states that

$$N(X+Y) \geq N(X) + N(Y), \quad (1)$$

for arbitrary independent summands X and Y in \mathbb{R}^n whenever the involved entropies are well defined (see [24], [25]). Several proofs of the EPI exist (see [16], [15], [26], [28], [22], [30]), as well as refinements (see [1], [17], [11]). We refer to the survey [18] for further details. Moreover, when a Gaussian noise is added to X , i.e., if $Y = \sqrt{t} Z$ with Z standard normal, the random vector $X + \sqrt{t} Z$ has density f_t whose entropy power is a concave function in t , so that

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2} N(f_t) \leq 0 \quad (t > 0). \quad (2)$$

This observation due to Costa [10], which strengthens (1) in the special case where Y is Gaussian, is known as the concavity of entropy power theorem (see [14], [29]).

There has been large interest in extending such properties to more general informational functionals, in particular, to the

Manuscript received September 19, 2016; revised August 26, 2017; accepted October 11, 2017. Date of publication October 20, 2017; date of current version November 20, 2017. This work was supported in part by the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation, in part by NSF under Grant DMS-1612961, and in part by the Walter S. Baer and Jeri Weiss CMI Postdoctoral Fellowship.

S. G. Bobkov is with the School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA (e-mail: bobkov@math.umn.edu).

A. Marsiglietti is with the California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125 USA. (e-mail: amarsigl@caltech.edu).

Communicated by P. Harremoës, Associate Editor for Probability and Statistics.

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TIT.2017.2764487

Rényi entropy and Rényi entropy power

$$h_r(X) = -\frac{1}{r-1} \log \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)^r dx,$$

$$N_r(X) = \exp\left\{\frac{2}{n} h_r(X)\right\} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)^r dx\right)^{-\frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{r-1}}$$

of a fixed order $r > 0$, or by some natural functionals of h_r and N_r . As one interesting example, for the densities $u(x, t) = f_t(x)$ solving the nonlinear heat equation $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = \Delta u^r$ with $r > 1 - \frac{2}{n}$, Savaré and Toscani [23] have extended property (2) to the functional N_r^α in place of N , where $\alpha = 1 + \frac{n}{2}(r-1)$. Therefore, in this PDE context, it is natural to work with

$$\tilde{N}_r(X) = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)^r dx\right)^{-\frac{2}{n} \frac{1}{r-1}-1},$$

called the r -th Rényi power in [23]. Although the solutions f_t lose the convolution structure, one may wonder whether or not the Savaré-Toscani entropy power \tilde{N}_r shares the EPI (1) as well. Here we give an affirmative answer to this question, including sharper powers of N_r .

Theorem 1: Given independent random vectors X and Y in \mathbb{R}^n with densities, we have

$$N_r^\alpha(X+Y) \geq N_r^\alpha(X) + N_r^\alpha(Y) \quad (3)$$

whenever $\alpha \geq \frac{r+1}{2}$ ($r > 1$).

Letting $r \downarrow 1$, inequality (3) returns us to the classical EPI. This inequality is getting sharper when r is fixed and α decreases. Anyhow, (3) is no longer true for $\alpha = 1$ like in (1). For the range $r > 3$, this fact was mentioned in [6] in case where both X and Y are uniformly distributed. As we will see, (3) may be violated with $\alpha = 1$ for any $r > 1$, even when one of the summands is normally distributed (that is, for the densities f_t in the heat semigroup model).

For $r = \infty$, a Rényi entropy power inequality of the form (3) cannot hold, for any α . Indeed, if we take X and Y uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, then $N_\infty(X+Y) = N_\infty(X)$. We refer to [5] and [19] for recent developments on N_∞ . While there has been several results about the Rényi entropy power of order $r \geq 1$, the investigation of a Rényi entropy power inequality for the Rényi entropy of order $r < 1$ has been addressed only very recently (see [20]).

In the proof of (3) we follow an approach of Lieb [16], employing Young’s inequality with best constants. Although the basic argument is rather standard, we recall it in the next section. In our situation it leads to some routine calculus computations, so we move the involved analysis to separate sections (starting with the case of equal entropy powers). In Section V, we analyze (3) with $\alpha = 1$ and show that this

inequality cannot be true in general. In Section VI we provide a simple lower bound on the optimal exponent $\alpha = \alpha(r)$ in (3). Finally, in Section VII, we conclude with remarks on the monotonicity of Rényi's entropy along rescaled convolutions.

II. INFORMATION-THEORETIC FORMULATION OF YOUNG'S INEQUALITY

The Young inequality with optimal constants (due to Beckner [3] and Brascamp and Lieb [9]) indicates that, for any two independent random vectors X and Y in \mathbb{R}^n with densities f and g , respectively, and for all parameters $p, q, r \geq 1$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1}{r}, \quad (4)$$

we have

$$\|f * g\|_r \leq C^{\frac{n}{2}} \|f\|_p \|g\|_q \quad (5)$$

with

$$C = C(p, q, r) = \frac{c_p c_q}{c_r},$$

where

$$c_\alpha = \frac{\alpha^{1/\alpha}}{(\alpha')^{1/\alpha'}}. \quad (6)$$

As usual, $f * g$ denotes the convolution, $p' = \frac{p}{p-1}$ is the conjugate power, and

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p}$$

stands for the L^p -norm of a non-negative function f on \mathbb{R}^n with respect to the Lebesgue measure. In general, we have $C \leq 1$, with equality in (5) attainable for Gaussian densities (the traditional Young inequality is formulated without this constant, so, in a weaker form).

Since $\|f\|_r = N_r(X)^{-\frac{n}{2r}}$, the inequality (5) may be stated as a dimension-free relation between the corresponding entropy powers, namely

$$N_r(X + Y)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \geq \frac{1}{C} N_p(X)^{\frac{1}{p'}} N_q(Y)^{\frac{1}{q'}}. \quad (7)$$

This is an equivalent information-theoretic formulation of Beckner's result, specialized to the class of probability densities, which appears, for example, in the book by Cover and Thomas [13] (in a slightly different form, cf. Theorem 17.8.3, p. 677).

It is natural to have an analog of (7) for one functional N_r only (rather than for three parameters). This can be done on the basis of (5) by noting that, due to Jensen's (or Hölder's) inequality, and since $p, q \leq r$ in (4) and f is a probability density function,

$$\|f\|_p^p \leq \|f\|_1^{1-\frac{p-1}{r-1}} \|f\|_r^{\frac{p-1}{r-1}} = \|f\|_r^{\frac{p-1}{r-1}} \quad (r > 1).$$

As an alternative approach, one can just use the monotonicity of the function $r \rightarrow N_r$, which follows, for example, from the representation

$$N_r^{-\frac{n}{2}}(X) = [\mathbb{E} f(X)^{r-1}]^{\frac{1}{r-1}}.$$

Hence $N_p \geq N_r$, $N_q \geq N_r$ in (7), and with these bounds it immediately yields:

Proposition 1: *Given independent random vectors X and Y in \mathbb{R}^n with densities, we have*

$$N_r(X + Y)^{\frac{1}{r'}} \geq \frac{1}{C} N_r(X)^{\frac{1}{p'}} N_r(Y)^{\frac{1}{q'}}, \quad (8)$$

which holds true for all $p, q, r \geq 1$ subject to (4) with constant $C = C(p, q, r)$ as in (6).

A weak point of this inequality is however the loss of equality for Gaussian densities. Nevertheless, there is still freedom to optimize the right-hand side over all admissible couples (p, q) , or to choose specific values, even if they are not optimal.

Notice that by Jensen's inequality, we always have

$$N_r(X + Y) \geq \max\{N_r(X), N_r(Y)\},$$

hence inequality (3) trivially holds if $N_r(X)N_r(Y) = 0$. Therefore, one may assume without loss of generality that $N_r(X)N_r(Y) > 0$, and we will implicitly make this assumption in the next sections.

III. THE CASE OF EQUAL ENTROPY POWERS

Let us illustrate this approach in the simpler situation of equal Rényi entropies. When $N_r(X) = N_r(Y) = N$, inequality (8) is simplified to

$$N_r(X + Y) \geq C^{-r'} N, \quad (9)$$

and our task reduces to the minimization of C as a function of (p, q) for a fixed $r > 1$. Putting $x = \frac{1}{p'}$, $y = \frac{1}{q'}$, so that $\frac{1}{p} = 1 - \frac{1}{p'} = 1 - x$ and $\frac{1}{q} = 1 - \frac{1}{q'} = 1 - y$, from (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C} &= \frac{c_r}{c_p c_q} = c_r \frac{(\frac{1}{p})^{1/p}}{(\frac{1}{p'})^{1/p'}} \frac{(\frac{1}{q})^{1/q}}{(\frac{1}{q'})^{1/q'}} \\ &= c_r \frac{(1-x)^{1-x}}{x^x} \frac{(1-y)^{1-y}}{y^y}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Hence, we need to maximize the quantity

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &= \log \frac{1}{C} \\ &= \log c_r - (x \log x - (1-x) \log(1-x)) \\ &\quad - (y \log y - (1-y) \log(1-y)) \end{aligned}$$

subject to the constraint (4), that is, for $x, y \geq 0$, $x + y = \frac{1}{r'}$, or equivalently, on the interval $0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{r'}$. At the endpoints, we have $\psi(0) = \psi(1/r') = 0$, while inside the interval

$$\psi'(x) = \log \frac{y(1-y)}{x(1-x)} = 0$$

if and only if $y(1-y) = x(1-x)$. This equation is solved either as $y = x = \frac{1}{2r'}$ or as $y = 1-x$. But the latter contradicts $x + y = \frac{1}{r'} < 1$. Moreover,

$$\psi''(x) = \frac{2x-1}{x(1-x)} + \frac{2y-1}{y(1-y)}$$

is negative at $x_0 = \frac{1}{2r'}$, which implies that x_0 is the point of maximum of the function ψ .

Thus, the coefficient $\frac{1}{C}$ in (8) is maximized, when $p' = q' = 2r' = \frac{2r}{r-1}$. For these values, $p = q = \frac{2r}{r+1}$, so

$$c_p = c_q = (2r)^{\frac{1}{r}} (r-1)^{\frac{r-1}{2r}} (r+1)^{-\frac{r+1}{2r}},$$

and

$$C = 2^{\frac{2}{r}} r (r+1)^{-\frac{r+1}{r}}.$$

It remains to raise C to the power $-r' = -\frac{r}{r-1}$, and then we obtain an explicit expression for the optimal constant in (9) derived on the basis of (8).

Proposition 2: If the independent random vectors X and Y satisfy $N_r(X) = N_r(Y) = N$ for some $r \geq 1$, then

$$N_r(X+Y) \geq A_r N, \quad A_r = 4^{-\frac{1}{r-1}} (r+1)^{\frac{r+1}{r-1}} r^{-\frac{r}{r-1}}. \quad (11)$$

Proposition 2 is not new and a more general version where the distributions have different Rényi entropies was obtained in [21, Th. 1]. Moreover, in the case of different Rényi entropies, tighter bounds are provided in [21, Corollary 3].

Now, it is easy to see that $1 < A_r < 2$. Moreover, (11) provides the desired linear bound (3) in case of equal entropy powers, $N_r^\alpha(X+Y) \geq 2 N^\alpha(X)$, as long as $A_r \geq 2^{1/\alpha}$, or equivalently, when $\alpha > \alpha(r) = (\log 2)/(\log A_r)$. A simple analysis shows that $\alpha(r) \leq (r+1)/2$.

IV. THE GENERAL CASE

Here we derive the extension (3) of the EPI for the power $\alpha = \frac{r+1}{2}$ in the case of arbitrary values $N_r(X)$ and $N_r(Y)$. As a preliminary step, let us return to the inequality (8) and raise it to the power α , so as to rewrite it as

$$N_r^\alpha(X+Y) \geq C^{-\alpha r'} N_r(X)^{\frac{\alpha r'}{p'}} N_r(Y)^{\frac{\alpha r'}{q'}}.$$

Putting $x = N_r^\alpha(X)$, $y = N_r^\alpha(Y)$ and assuming without loss of generality that $x+y = \frac{1}{r'}$ (using homogeneity of these functionals), it is enough to show that

$$C^{-\alpha r'} x^{\frac{r'}{p'}} y^{\frac{r'}{q'}} \geq \frac{1}{r'}$$

for some admissible $p, q \geq 1$, i.e., satisfying the condition $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1}{r'}$. Hence, Theorem 1 will immediately follow from the following lemma.

Lemma 1: Let $r > 1$. Let $x, y > 0$ be such that $x+y = \frac{1}{r'}$. Then, there exist $p, q \geq 1$ satisfying $\frac{1}{p'} + \frac{1}{q'} = \frac{1}{r'}$ such that

$$C^{-\alpha r'} x^{\frac{r'}{p'}} y^{\frac{r'}{q'}} \geq \frac{1}{r'},$$

where $C = C(p, q, r)$ is as in (6), and $\alpha = \frac{r+1}{2}$.

To prove Lemma 1, we make use of the following calculus lemma.

Lemma 2: Given $0 < c < 1$ and $\beta \geq \frac{2}{c} - 1$, the function

$$\psi(x) = \frac{(1-x)^\beta(1-y)^\beta}{x^y y^y} \quad (y = c-x)$$

attains minimum on the interval $0 \leq x \leq c$ either at the endpoints $x = 0$, $x = c$, or at the center $x = \frac{c}{2}$. Moreover, in case $\beta = \frac{2}{c} - 1$, this function attains minimum at the endpoints.

Proof: Inside the interval $(0, c)$ the function

$$\begin{aligned} v(x) &= \log \psi(x) \\ &= \beta ((1-x) \log(1-x) + (1-y) \log(1-y)) \\ &\quad - (x \log x + y \log y) \end{aligned}$$

has the first two derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} v'(x) &= -\beta (\log(1-x) - \log(1-y)) - (\log x - \log y), \\ v''(x) &= \left(\frac{\beta}{1-x} + \frac{\beta}{1-y} \right) - \left(\frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{y} \right) \\ &= \frac{\beta (2-c)}{(1-x)(1-y)} - \frac{c}{xy}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $v(0) = v(c)$. Also, $v'(0+) = \infty$, $v'(c-) = -\infty$, so v is increasing near zero and is decreasing near the point c . In addition, $v''(x)$ is vanishing, if and only if

$$w(x) \equiv \beta(2-c)xy - c(1-x)(1-y) = 0, \quad (12)$$

which is a quadratic equation (recall that $y = c-x$). In general it has at most two roots.

Case 1: Equation (12) has at most one root in $(0, c)$. Since $w(0) < 0$, it means that $w(x) \leq 0$ in $(0, c)$. Therefore, v is concave, and thus attains its minimum at the endpoints of this interval.

Case 2: Equation (12) has exactly two roots in $(0, c)$, say $0 < x_1 < x_2 < c$. Since $w(0) < 0$ and $w(c) < 0$, it means that $w(x) < 0$ in $(0, x_1)$ and (x_2, c) , while $w(x) > 0$ in (x_1, x_2) . That is, v is strictly concave on $(0, x_1)$ and (x_2, c) , and is strictly convex on the intermediate interval. Hence, in this case there is at most one point of local minimum. If there is no point of local minimum, then v attains its minimum at the endpoints. If there is one point x_0 of local minimum of v , then it must belong to (x_1, x_2) , and there are two points of local maximum, say z_1 and z_2 belonging to the other subintervals. In particular, $v' < 0$ on (z_1, x_0) and $v' > 0$ on (x_0, z_2) .

Note that $v'(c/2) = 0$, so this point is a candidate for local extremum. Moreover, by the assumption on β ,

$$v''(c/2) = \frac{4(\beta c - (2-c))}{c(2-c)} \geq 0.$$

If $\beta > \frac{2}{c} - 1$, then $v''(c/2) > 0$ which means that $x_0 = c/2$ is a local minimum for v and therefore for ψ , and the first assertion follows. If $\beta = \frac{2}{c} - 1$, then $v''(c/2) = 0$ which means that either $c/2 = x_1$ or $c/2 = x_2$. But at these points the derivative of v may not vanish. In other words, the equality $\beta = \frac{2}{c} - 1$ is only possible under Case 1. \square

Proof of Lemma 1: The best values of p and q can be described implicitly as solutions to a certain equation, and we prefer to take some specific values. As a natural choice, consider (p, q) such that $\frac{1}{p'} = x$ and $\frac{1}{q'} = y$ and try to check the desired inequality $x^{xr} y^{yr} \geq C^{\alpha r} \frac{1}{r'}$, i.e.,

$$x^x y^y \geq C^\alpha \frac{1}{(r')^{1/r'}} \quad \left(x, y > 0, x+y = \frac{1}{r'} \right).$$

Equivalently, so that to eliminate the parameter r , we need to check whether or not

$$x^x y^y \geq C^\alpha (x+y)^{x+y} \quad (x, y > 0, x+y < 1). \quad (13)$$

As in Section III, cf. (10),

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{c_p c_q}{c_r} \\ &= \frac{x^x}{(1-x)^{1-x}} \frac{y^y}{(1-y)^{1-y}} \frac{(1-x-y)^{1-x-y}}{(x+y)^{x+y}}, \end{aligned}$$

and (13) takes the form

$$\left(\frac{(x+y)^{x+y}}{x^x y^y} \right)^{\alpha-1} \geq \left(\frac{(1-x-y)^{1-x-y}}{(1-x)^{1-x} (1-y)^{1-y}} \right)^\alpha, \quad (14)$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{(1-x)^{\beta(1-x)} (1-y)^{\beta(1-y)}}{x^x y^y} \geq \frac{(1-x-y)^{\beta(1-x-y)}}{(x+y)^{x+y}}, \quad (15)$$

where

$$\beta = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}.$$

Here the right-hand side depends only on $c = x + y$ (since α may only depend on r which is a function of $x + y$). Hence, to prove (15), it is sufficient to minimize the left-hand side under the constraint $x, y \geq 0, x + y = c$, and then to compare the minimum with the right-hand side. In case $\alpha = \frac{r+1}{2}$, we have

$$\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} = \frac{2-x-y}{x+y} = \frac{2-c}{c} = \frac{2}{c} - 1,$$

which is exactly the extreme value for β in Lemma 2. Therefore, by its conclusion, the left-hand side of (15) is minimized either at $x = 0$ or $x = c$. But for such boundary values there is equality in (15). As a result, we obtain the desired inequality (13) for all $x, y > 0$ such that $x + y < 1$. \square

V. RÉNYI ENTROPY POWERS FOR THE HEAT SEMI-GROUP

Let us now look at the possible behavior of the Rényi entropy powers in the class of densities f_t of $X_t = X + \sqrt{t}Z$, assuming that X has a sufficiently regular positive density f (on the line), and Z is a standard normal random variable independent of X . Since for small $t > 0$

$$f_t(x) = f(x) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x) t + o(t),$$

we find, by Taylor expansion and integrating by parts,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_t(x)^r dx &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^r dx \\ &\quad - \frac{t}{2} r(r-1) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{r-2} f'(x)^2 dx + o(t), \end{aligned}$$

and thus, for $r > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} N_r(X_t) &= N_r(X) \\ &\quad + tr \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1+r}{1-r}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{r-2} f'(x)^2 dx \\ &\quad + o(t). \end{aligned}$$

Using this representation, we are going to test the inequality (3) for $\alpha = 1$, when it becomes

$$N_r(X_t) \geq N_r(X) + t N_r(Z).$$

Comparing the linear terms in front of t and using $N_r(Z) = 2\pi r^{\frac{1}{r-1}}$, we would be led to a Nash-type inequality

$$r \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1+r}{1-r}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^{r-2} f'(x)^2 dx \geq 2\pi r^{\frac{1}{r-1}}, \quad (16)$$

holding already without too restrictive conditions (e.g., for all C^1 -smooth $f > 0$).

Now, let us take $f(x) = Be^{-\frac{|x|^p}{p}}$ with $p \geq 2$, where B is a normalizing constant, i.e., $B^{-1} = 2 p^{\frac{1}{p}-1} \Gamma(\frac{1}{p})$. In this case,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^r dx = B^r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{r|x|^p}{p}} dx = B^{r-1} \frac{1}{r^{1/p}},$$

so that

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1+r}{1-r}} = B^{-(r+1)} r^{\frac{r+1}{p(r-1)}}.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(x)^2 f(x)^{r-2} dx &= B^r \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |x|^{2(p-1)} e^{-\frac{r|x|^p}{p}} dx \\ &= 2 B^r \left(\frac{p}{r} \right)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}} \frac{1}{p} \Gamma \left(2 - \frac{1}{p} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus the left-hand side in (16) is equal to

$$\frac{2r}{B} r^{\frac{r+1}{p(r-1)}} \left(\frac{p}{r} \right)^{\frac{2p-1}{p}} \frac{1}{p} \Gamma \left(2 - \frac{1}{p} \right) = 4 \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{p} \right) \Gamma \left(2 - \frac{1}{p} \right) r^{\frac{2r}{p(r-1)}-1}.$$

Hence, inequality (16) says that

$$2\pi \leq 4 r^{-\frac{r(p-2)}{p(r-1)}} \Gamma \left(\frac{1}{p} \right) \Gamma \left(2 - \frac{1}{p} \right). \quad (17)$$

We claim that it cannot be true for all $p > 2$ sufficiently close to 2 (i.e., when X itself is almost standard normal). To see this, denote by $G(p)$ the right-hand side of (17) and note that there is equality at $p = 2$. So, let us look at the derivative and show that $G'(2) < 0$, i.e., $H'(1/2) > 0$ for $H(x) = \log G(1/x)$. Indeed,

$$H'(x) = \frac{2r}{r-1} \log r + \frac{\Gamma'(x)}{\Gamma(x)} - \frac{\Gamma'(2-x)}{\Gamma(2-x)}.$$

From the fundamental relation $\Gamma(x+1) = x\Gamma(x)$, it follows that $\Gamma'(x+1) = \Gamma(x) + x\Gamma'(x)$, so $\Gamma'(3/2) = \Gamma(1/2) + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma'(1/2)$, while $\Gamma(3/2) = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(1/2)$. Hence,

$$H'(1/2) = \frac{2r}{r-1} \log r - 2 > 0.$$

We may conclude that the entropy power inequality for N_r of any order $r > 1$ does not hold in general, even when one of the variables is Gaussian.

For another, less direct argument, one may return to (16) and rewrite it as a homogeneous inequality

$$\begin{aligned} r \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^r dx \right)^{\frac{1+r}{1-r}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)^{r-2} f'(x)^2 dx \\ \geq 2\pi r^{\frac{1}{r-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) dx \right)^{\frac{2r}{r-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

After the change $f = u^{\frac{2}{r}}$, it takes the form of the Nash-type inequality

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x)^2 dx \right)^{\frac{r+1}{r-1}} \leq K_r \int_{\mathbb{R}} u'(x)^2 dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(x)^{\frac{2}{r}} dx \right)^{\frac{2r}{r-1}} \quad (18)$$

with $K_r = \frac{2}{\pi r^{\frac{r}{r-1}}}$. In fact, the Nash inequality in \mathbb{R}^n asserts that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x)^2 dx \right)^{1+\frac{2}{n}} \leq C_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^2 dx \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) dx \right)^{\frac{4}{n}}$$

with sharp constant given by

$$C_n = \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \right) \Gamma \left(\frac{n}{2} + 2 \right)^{\frac{2}{n}} \frac{1}{\pi j_{n/2}^2}$$

(see [12], [4]). Here $j_{\frac{n}{2}}$ denotes the smallest positive zero of the Bessel function $J_{\frac{n}{2}}$ of order $\frac{n}{2}$. In dimension $n = 1$, one has

$J_{\frac{1}{2}}(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi x}} \sin(x)$ (see [31, p. 54, eq. (3)]), thus $j_{\frac{1}{2}} = \pi$. Hence the sharp Nash inequality in dimension 1 reads

$$\left(\int u(x)^2 dx \right)^3 \leq \frac{27}{16\pi^2} \int u'(x)^2 dx \left(\int u(x) dx \right)^4,$$

which is the same as (18) for $r = 2$, however, with a larger constant. Hence, as we have already seen, inequality (3) cannot be true for $\alpha = 1$ and $r = 2$. Let us notice that the Nash inequality with the asymptotically sharp constant $2/(\pi en)$ can be deduced from the classical EPI (1) [27].

For the parameter $r = 2$, routine computations also provide a counterexample in the case where both X and Y have the beta distribution with density $f(x) = \frac{3}{4}(1-x^2)$, $|x| < 1$ (sometimes called a q -Gaussian distribution).

VI. LOWER BOUND ON THE OPTIMAL EXPONENT

One may also provide a simple lower bound on the optimal exponent $\alpha = \alpha_{opt}$ that satisfies the inequality

$$N_r(X+Y)^\alpha \geq N_r(X)^\alpha + N_r(Y)^\alpha$$

for all independent random vectors X and Y . Together with the upper bound of Theorem 1 and the counterexample in Section V, we have:

Proposition 3: One has

$$\alpha_{opt} \in \left[\min \left\{ 1, \frac{\log 2}{2} \frac{r-1}{\log(\frac{r+1}{2})} \right\}, \frac{r+1}{2} \right].$$

Proof: For the remaining lower bound, let X and Y be independent and uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$, in which case $N_r(X) = N_r(Y) = 1$. The sum $X+Y$ has the triangle density $(f * g)(x) = x$ on $[0, 1]$ and $(f * g)(x) = 2-x$ on $[1, 2]$. Hence,

$$\int (f * g)(x)^r dx = \int_0^1 x^r dx + \int_1^2 (2-x)^r dx = \frac{2}{r+1}.$$

Thus

$$N_r(X+Y) = \left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2}{r-1}}.$$

Since $N_r(X+Y)^{\alpha_{opt}} \geq N_r(X)^{\alpha_{opt}} + N_r(Y)^{\alpha_{opt}}$, we deduce that $\left(\frac{r+1}{2} \right)^{\frac{2\alpha_{opt}}{r-1}} \geq 2$, which is the required statement. \square

Let us stress that, if X and Y are independent real valued random variables with $N_r^\alpha(X+Y) = N_r^\alpha(X) + N_r^\alpha(Y)$, then drawing vectors $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_n)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ with i.i.d. $X_i \sim X$ and $Y_i \sim Y$, we have

$$N_r^\alpha(\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{Y}) = N_r^\alpha(\mathbf{X}) + N_r^\alpha(\mathbf{Y}).$$

Hence, via this tensorization argument, there is no hope to improve α in higher dimension.

VII. MONOTONICITY AND THE CLT

Since the entropy power inequality (1) is closely related to the monotonicity of the entropy along rescaled convolutions, let us make a remark, restricting ourselves to the dimension $n = 1$. Given an i.i.d. sequence of random variables X, X_1, X_2, \dots with mean zero and variance one, the entropies $h(Z_k)$ of the normalized sums

$$Z_k = \frac{X_1 + \dots + X_k}{\sqrt{k}}$$

are known to be non-decreasing for growing k and approaching the entropy $h(Z)$ of a standard normal random variable Z , see [1], [2], [17]. Since the monotonicity follows from (1), although for the subsequence $k = 2^l$ only, and since we have the more general inequality (3), one may naturally wonder whether such a property extends to the Rényi's entropies. This turns out to be false in general. If the 6-th moment $\mathbb{E}X^6$ is finite and $h_r(Z_{k_0})$ is finite for some k_0 , a careful application of Edgeworth expansions yields an asymptotic representation

$$\Delta_k(r) = h_r(Z) - h_r(Z_k) = B_r k^{-1} + C_r k^{-2} + o(k^{-2})$$

with constant

$$B_r = \frac{1}{4r} \left[\frac{2-r}{3} \gamma_3^2 + \frac{r-1}{2} \gamma_4 \right],$$

where $\gamma_3 = \mathbb{E}X^3$ and $\gamma_4 = \mathbb{E}X^4 - 3$ (the 3-rd and 4-th cumulants of X), and some constant $C_r \in \mathbb{R}$ (involving the cumulants of X up to order 6). In the limit case $r = 1$, such a representation, quantifying the entropic central limit theorem, was derived in [7]. As for the values $r > 1$, first suppose that $\gamma_3 \neq 0$. When r is sufficiently close to 1, then $B_r > 0$, so that $\Delta_k(r)$ is an eventually decreasing sequence like for $r = 1$. More precisely, this is true for all $r > 1$, whenever $\gamma_4 \geq \frac{2}{3} \gamma_3^2$. But, if $\gamma_4 < \frac{2}{3} \gamma_3^2$, then $B_r < 0$ for all $r > r_0 = (4\gamma_3^2 - 3\gamma_4)/(2\gamma_3^2 - 3\gamma_4)$, hence $\Delta_k(r)$ becomes an eventually increasing sequence. In that case, necessarily

$$h_r(Z_k) > h_r(Z) \text{ for all } k \text{ large enough,}$$

which is impossible in the Shannon case $r = 1$. This also shows that $\Delta_k(r)$ may not serve as distance.

If $\gamma_3 = 0$ (as in the situation of symmetric distributions), the constant is simplified to

$$B_r = \frac{r-1}{8r} \gamma_4.$$

Both cases, $\gamma_4 > 0$ or $\gamma_4 < 0$, are possible, and one can make a similar conclusion as before for the whole range $r > 1$. We refer an interested reader to [8] for more details.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Eric Carlen, Eshed Ram and Igal Sason for reading the manuscript and for their valuable comments. They are also grateful to both referees. In particular, one of them emphasized a dimension-free character of the optimal value of α , and the other one raised the problem of the monotonicity of the Rényi entropy in the central limit theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Artstein, K. M. Ball, F. Barthe, and A. Naor, “Solution of Shannon’s problem on the monotonicity of entropy,” *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 17, no. 4, pp. 975–982, 2004.
- [2] A. R. Barron, “Entropy and the central limit theorem,” *Ann. Probab.*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 336–342, 1986.
- [3] W. Beckner, “Inequalities in analysis,” *Ann. Math.*, vol. 102, no. 1, pp. 159–182, 1975.
- [4] W. Beckner, “Geometric proof of Nash’s inequality,” *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, vol. 2, pp. 67–72, Jan. 1998.
- [5] S. G. Bobkov and G. P. Chistyakov, “Bounds on the maximum of the density for sums of independent random variables,” *J. Math. Sci.*, vol. 199, no. 2, pp. 100–106, 2014.
- [6] S. G. Bobkov and G. P. Chistyakov, “Entropy power inequality for the Rényi entropy,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 708–714, Feb. 2015.
- [7] S. G. Bobkov, G. P. Chistyakov, and F. Götze, “Rate of convergence and Edgeworth-type expansion in the entropic central limit theorem,” *Ann. Probab.*, vol. 41, no. 4, pp. 2479–2512, 2013.
- [8] S. G. Bobkov and A. Marsiglietti, “Convergence of the Rényi entropy in the central limit theorem,” *Tech. Rep.*, 2017.
- [9] H. J. Brascamp and E. H. Lieb, “Best constants in Young’s inequality, its converse, and its generalization to more than three functions,” *Adv. Math.*, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 151–173, May 1976.
- [10] M. H. M. Costa, “A new entropy power inequality,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. IT-31, no. 6, pp. 751–760, Nov. 1985.
- [11] T. A. Courtade. (2016). “Strengthening the entropy power inequality.” [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.03033>
- [12] E. A. Carlen and M. Loss, “Sharp constant in Nash’s inequality,” *Int. Math. Res. Notices*, vol. 7, pp. 213–215, Jan. 1993.
- [13] T. M. Cover and J. A. Thomas, *Elements of Information Theory* (Wiley Series in Telecommunications). New York, NY, USA: Wiley, 1991, pp. 542.
- [14] A. Dembo, “Simple proof of the concavity of the entropy power with respect to added Gaussian noise,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 35, no. 4, pp. 887–888, Jul. 1989.
- [15] A. Dembo, T. M. Cover, and J. A. Thomas, “Information theoretic inequalities,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 37, no. 6, pp. 1501–1518, Nov. 1991.
- [16] E. H. Lieb, “Proof of an entropy conjecture of Wehrl,” *Commun. Math. Phys.*, vol. 62, no. 1, pp. 35–41, 1978.
- [17] M. Madiman and A. Barron, “Generalized entropy power inequalities and monotonicity properties of information,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 7, pp. 2317–2329, Jul. 2007.
- [18] M. Madiman, J. Melbourne, and P. Xu. (2016). “Forward and reverse entropy power inequalities in convex geometry.” [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1604.04225>
- [19] M. Madiman, J. Melbourne, and P. Xu. (2017). “Rogozin’s convolution inequality for locally compact groups.” [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.00642>
- [20] A. Marsiglietti and J. Melbourne. (2017). “On the entropy power inequality for the Rényi entropy of order [0,1].” [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.00800>
- [21] E. Ram and I. Sason, “On Rényi entropy power inequalities,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 62, no. 12, pp. 6800–6815, Dec. 2016.
- [22] O. Rioul, “Information theoretic proofs of entropy power inequalities,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 33–55, Jan. 2011.
- [23] G. Savaré and G. Toscani, “The concavity of Rényi entropy power,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 5, pp. 2687–2693, May 2014.
- [24] C. E. Shannon, “A mathematical theory of communication,” *Bell Syst. Tech. J.*, vol. 27, pp. 379–423 and 623–656, Jul./Oct. 1948.
- [25] A. J. Stam, “Some inequalities satisfied by the quantities of information of Fisher and Shannon,” *Inf. Control*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 101–112, 1959.
- [26] S. J. Szarek and D. Voiculescu, “Shannon’s entropy power inequality via restricted Minkowski sums,” in *Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis* (Lecture Notes in Mathematics), vol. 1745. Berlin, Germany: Springer, 2000.
- [27] G. Toscani, “An information-theoretic proof of Nash’s inequality,” *Rend. Lincei Math. Appl.*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 83–93, 2013.
- [28] D. Guo and S. Verdú, “A simple proof of the entropy-power inequality,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 52, no. 5, pp. 2165–2166, May 2006.
- [29] C. Villani, “A short proof of the ‘concavity of entropy power,’” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 46, no. 4, pp. 1695–1696, Jul. 2000.
- [30] L. Wang and M. Madiman, “Beyond the entropy power inequality, via rearrangements,” *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 60, no. 9, pp. 5116–5137, Sep. 2014.
- [31] G. N. Watson, *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. Cambridge, U.K.: Cambridge Univ. Press, 1944.

Sergey G. Bobkov graduated from Saint Petersburg University, USSR, in 1983, and then pursued graduate studies at Steklov Mathematical Institute of the Academy of Sciences of USSR (Saint Petersburg branch). He received the Ph.D. degree and the degree of Doctor of Mathematics and Physics from Saint Petersburg University in 1988 and 1997, respectively. From 1987 to 2000 he worked at Syktyvkar University, Russia. In 2000 he joined the School of Mathematics at the University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, USA. From November 1995 to July 1997 he was an Alexander von Humboldt Fellow at Bielefeld University, Germany. He spent the summers of 2001 and 2002 as an EPSRC Fellow at Imperial College London, UK. He was awarded a Simons Fellowship (2012) and Humboldt Research Award (2014).

Prof. Bobkov’s current research interests are high-dimensional probability distributions, measure concentration phenomena, isoperimetry, transportation, Sobolev-type inequalities, convex geometry, information theory, and discrete mathematics. He is serving as an Associate Editor for Bernoulli.

Arnaud Marsiglietti received his Master degree in Mathematics at the Université Paris-Est Marne-la-Vallée, France, in 2010, and his Ph.D. degree in Mathematics at the same institution in 2014. From 2014 to 2016, he worked at the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications (IMA, University of Minnesota) as a postdoctoral researcher. Since 2016, he is working at California Institute of Technology (Caltech) as a postdoctoral researcher.

Dr. Marsiglietti’s research interest include convex geometry, high-dimensional probability distributions, information theory, optimal transportation, and the concentration of measure phenomenon.