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## GAUSSIAN MIXTURES AND NORMAL <br> APPROXIMATION FOR V. N. SUDAKOV'S TYPICAL DISTRIBUTIONS


#### Abstract

We derive a general upper bound on the distance of the standard normal law to typical distributions in V. N. Sudakov's theorem (in terms of the weighted total variation).


## Dedicated to the memory of Vladimir Nikolayevich Sudakov

## §1. Introduction

Let $X=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ be a random vector in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ with finite second moment, and let

$$
S^{n-1}=\left\{\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: \theta_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\theta_{n}^{2}=1\right\}
$$

denote the unit sphere which we equip with the uniform probability measure $\sigma_{n-1}$.

In general, the distribution functions $F_{\theta}(x)=\mathbf{P}\left\{S_{\theta} \leqslant x\right\}(x \in \mathbf{R})$ of linear forms

$$
S_{\theta}=\theta_{1} X_{1}+\cdots+\theta_{n} X_{n}, \quad \theta \in S^{n-1}
$$

essentially depend on the parameter $\theta$. Nevertheless, according to the celebrated result by Sudakov of 1978 [20], if $n$ is large, and if the covariance matrix of $X$ has a bounded spectral radius, then $F_{\theta}$ 's concentrate around a certain typical distribution function $F$ (for most of $\theta$ in the sense of $\sigma_{n-1}$ ). The latter function may actually be defined explicitly as the mean

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\int_{S^{n-1}} F_{\theta}(x) d \sigma_{n-1}(\theta) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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This remarkable observation, to which Sudakov returned several times later on (cf. e.g. [19,21]), has become a starting point for subsequent investigations by many researchers. And indeed, his theorem has a rather universal range of applicability in contrast with the classical scheme of summation of independent random variables. The problem of concentration of $F_{\theta}$ has various interesting aspects, and we do not discuss it here. Let us only mention the papers by Nagaev [17] and von Weizsäcker [22] who considered summation and averaging with coefficients over the rescaled Gaussian measure (instead of $\sigma_{n-1}$ ). The paper [3] dealt with coefficients of the form $\theta_{k}= \pm 1 / \sqrt{n}$ and averaging with respect to the rescaled Bernoulli measure; some other related models were studied in [4,6,7]. For the problem of rates of approximation, and results in the case where the distribution of $X$ has convexity properties, see also $[1,2,5,8,9,11-14,16,18]$.

It was already emphasized in [20] that the typical distribution $F$ in (1.1) may be approximated by a mixture of centered Gaussian measures on the line. Indeed, the rotational invariance of the measure $\sigma_{n-1}$ implies that

$$
F(x)=\mathbf{P}\left\{\rho Z_{n} \leqslant x\right\}
$$

where

$$
\rho^{2}=\frac{X_{1}^{2}+\cdots+X_{n}^{2}}{n} \quad(\rho \geqslant 0)
$$

and where the random variable $Z_{n}$ is independent of $\rho$ and has the same distribution as $\sqrt{n} \theta_{1}$ under $\sigma_{n-1}$. Since $Z_{n}$ is nearly standard normal, $F$ is therefore close to the distribution of $\rho Z$ with $Z \sim N(0,1)$ independent of $\rho$.

In particular, $F$ itself is approximately normal, if and only if $\rho$ is almost a constant, which means a kind of the law of large numbers for the sequence $X_{k}^{2}$. This property - that the distribution of $\rho$ is concentrated around a point (in a weak sense) is of course true in case of independent components $X_{k}$ 's (under a mild moment assumption), but it continues to hold in many other situations allowing dependence between $X_{k}$. To quantify the assertion about the closeness of $F$ to the standard normal distribution function

$$
\Phi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} e^{-y^{2} / 2} d y, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}
$$

we derive a simple general bound in terms of the variance of $\rho$. Note that the second moment of $F$ is equal to $\mathbf{E} \rho^{2}$, so a normalization condition on this moment is desirable.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $\mathbf{E} \rho^{2}=1$. With some absolute constant $c>0$, we have for all $n \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)|F-\Phi|(d x) \leqslant c\left(\frac{1}{n}+\operatorname{Var}(\rho)\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the positive measure $|F-\Phi|$ denotes the variation in the sense of measure theory, and the left integral represents the weighted total variation of $F-\Phi$. In particular, we have a similar bound on the usual total variation distance between $F$ and $\Phi$.

In applications, it might be more convenient to use an elementary bound $\operatorname{Var}(\rho) \leqslant \operatorname{Var}\left(\rho^{2}\right)$, cf. (3.2) below, in order to further estimate the righthand side of (1.2). For example, if the random variables $X_{k}$ are pairwise independent and have bounded 4-th moments $\mathbf{E} X_{k}^{4}$, then $\operatorname{Var}\left(\rho^{2}\right)$ is of order $1 / n$, so that (1.2) yields a $\frac{1}{n}$-rate of normal approximation for the total variation and thus for the Kolmogorov distance $\Delta=\sup _{x}|F(x)-\Phi(x)|$ as well. Another wide class of probability distributions with this property (for $X$ ) is described by those that satisfy a Poincaré-type inequality

$$
\lambda_{1} \operatorname{Var}(u(X)) \leqslant \mathbf{E}|\nabla u(X)|^{2}
$$

where a positive constant $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(X)$ serves for all bounded smooth functions $u$ on $\mathbf{R}^{n}$. The appearance of the weight $1+x^{2}$ on the left of (1.2) allows one to make a similar conclusion about the $L^{p}$-distances between the distribution functions $F$ and $\Phi$.

## §2. Mixtures of Centered Gaussian Measures

As a first natural step towards the proof of Theorem 1.1, let us consider the normal approximation for general mixtures of normal distributions. Denote by $\Phi_{\rho}$ the distribution function of the random variable $Z(\rho)=\rho Z$, where $Z \sim N(0,1)$ is independent of a random variable $\rho \geqslant 0$. That is,

$$
\Phi_{\rho}(x)=\mathbf{P}\{\rho Z \leqslant x\}=\mathbf{E} \Phi(x / \rho), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}
$$

We start with estimation of the weighted total variation distance between the distributions $\Phi_{\rho}$ and $\Phi$.
Proposition 2.1. If $\mathbf{E} \rho^{2}=1$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\Phi_{\rho}-\Phi\right|(d x) \leqslant c \operatorname{Var}(\rho) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
Proof. When $\rho=t$ is a positive constant, $\Phi_{t}$ represents the normal distribution function with mean zero and standard deviation $t>0$, thus with density

$$
\varphi_{t}(x)=\frac{1}{t} \varphi(x / t), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}
$$

where $\varphi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-x^{2} / 2}$ is the standard normal density.
For a fixed number $x$, let us expand the function $u(t)=\varphi_{t}(x)$ according to the Taylor formula up to the quadratic term at the point $t_{0}=1$. We have $u\left(t_{0}\right)=\varphi(x)$,

$$
u^{\prime}(t)=\left(t^{-4} x^{2}-t^{-2}\right) \varphi(x / t), \quad u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)=\left(x^{2}-1\right) \varphi(x),
$$

and

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(t)=\left(t^{-7} x^{4}-5 t^{-5} x^{2}+2 t^{-3}\right) \varphi(x / t)=t^{-3} \psi(x / t)
$$

where $\psi(z)=\left(z^{4}-5 z^{2}+2\right) \varphi(z)$. Therefore, using the integral Taylor formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u\left(t_{0}\right)+u^{\prime}\left(t_{0}\right)\left(t-t_{0}\right)+\left(t-t_{0}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} u^{\prime \prime}((1-s)+s t)(1-s) d s \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{t}(x)-\varphi(x) & =(t-1)\left(x^{2}-1\right) \varphi(x) \\
& +(t-1)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}((1-s)+s t)^{-3} \psi\left(\frac{x}{(1-s)+s t}\right)(1-s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

We apply this representation with $t=\xi(\omega)$, where $\xi$ is a positive random variable (on some probability space). Thus, $\Phi_{\xi}$ has density $\varphi_{\xi}=\mathbf{E} \varphi_{\xi(\omega)}$ representable as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\xi}(x)-\varphi(x) & =\left(x^{2}-1\right) \varphi(x) \mathbf{E}(\xi-1) \\
& +\mathbf{E}(\xi-1)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}((1-s)+s \xi)^{-3} \psi\left(\frac{x}{(1-s)+s \xi}\right)(1-s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Putting

$$
R_{\xi}(x)=\varphi_{\xi}(x)-\varphi(x)-\left(x^{2}-1\right) \varphi(x) \mathbf{E}(\xi-1)
$$

we then get, by Fubini's theorem,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|R_{\xi}(x)\right| d x \\
& \leqslant \mathbf{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\xi-1)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}((1-s)+s \xi)^{-3}\left|\psi\left(\frac{x}{(1-s)+s \xi}\right)\right|(1-s) d s d x \\
& \quad=c_{0} \mathbf{E}(\xi-1)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}((1-s)+s \xi)^{-2}(1-s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

with $c_{0}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\psi(x)| d x$. In particular, if $\xi \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$, the latter integral does not exceed $\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1-s}{\left(1-\frac{s}{2}\right)^{2}} d s=4 \log 2-2<1$, so that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|R_{\xi}(x)\right| d x \leqslant c_{0} \mathbf{E}(\xi-1)^{2}
$$

which implies that with some $|\theta| \leqslant 1$

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\varphi_{\xi}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x=|\mathbf{E} \xi-1| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|x^{2}-1\right| \varphi(x) d x+\theta c_{0} \mathbf{E}(\xi-1)^{2}
$$

Analogously, the integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2}\left|R_{\xi}(x)\right| d x$ may be bounded from above by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}(\xi-1)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}((1-s)+s \xi)^{-3} x^{2}\left|\psi\left(\frac{x}{(1-s)+s \xi}\right)\right|(1-s) d s d x \\
=c_{1} \mathbf{E}(\xi-1)^{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $c_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2}|\psi(x)| d x$. In particular, now without any constraint on $\xi$,
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2}\left|\varphi_{\xi}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x=|\mathbf{E} \xi-1| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{2}\left|x^{2}-1\right| \varphi(x) d x+\theta c_{1} \mathbf{E}(\xi-1)^{2}$.
The two representations can now be combined to

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\varphi_{\xi}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x=a|\mathbf{E} \xi-1|+\theta b \mathbf{E}(\xi-1)^{2},
$$

where

$$
a=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|x^{2}-1\right| \varphi(x) d x, \quad b=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} x^{2}\right)|\psi(x)| d x
$$

and $|\theta| \leqslant 1$. Let us derive numerical bounds on these absolute constants. If $Z \sim N(0,1)$, then using $\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|x^{2}-1\right| \leqslant 1+x^{4}$, we have $a \leqslant 1+\mathbf{E} Z^{4}=4$. Since furthermore $|\psi(x)| \leqslant\left(x^{4}+5 x^{2}+2\right) \varphi(x)$, we also have

$$
b \leqslant \mathbf{E}\left(Z^{4}+5 Z^{2}+2\right)+\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{E}\left(Z^{6}+5 Z^{4}+2 Z^{2}\right)=26
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\varphi_{\xi}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x=4 \theta_{0}|\mathbf{E} \xi-1|+26 \theta_{1} \mathbf{E}(\xi-1)^{2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $\left|\theta_{i}\right| \leqslant 1$, provided that $\xi \geqslant 1 / 2$.
Now, consider the general case assuming without loss of generality that $\rho>0$. Introduce the events $A_{0}=\{\rho<1 / 2\}, A_{1}=\{\rho \geqslant 1 / 2\}$, and put $\alpha_{0}=\mathbf{P}\left(A_{0}\right), \alpha_{1}=\mathbf{P}\left(A_{1}\right)$, assuming again without loss of generality that $\alpha_{0}>0$. Next we split the distribution $Q$ of $\rho$ into the two components supported on $(0,1 / 2)$ and $[1 / 2, \infty)$ and denote by $\rho_{0}$ and $\rho_{1}$ some random variables distributed respectively as the normalized restrictions of $Q$ to these regions, so that $\rho_{0}<1 / 2$ and $\rho_{1} \geqslant 1 / 2$. We thus represent the density of $\Phi_{\rho}$ as the convex mixture of two densities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{\rho}=\alpha_{0} \varphi_{\rho_{0}}+\alpha_{1} \varphi_{\rho_{1}} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varphi_{\rho_{0}}(x)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{0}} \mathbf{E} \varphi(x / \rho) 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}}, \quad \varphi_{\rho_{1}}(x)=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \mathbf{E} \varphi(x / \rho) 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{1}\right\}}
$$

Note that, since $\mathbf{E} \rho^{2}=1$, we necessarily have $\mathbf{E} \rho \leqslant 1$. On the other hand,

$$
\operatorname{Var}(\rho)=1-(\mathbf{E} \rho)^{2}=(1-\mathbf{E} \rho)(1+\mathbf{E} \rho) \geqslant 1-\mathbf{E} \rho
$$

Hence $|\mathbf{E} \rho-1| \leqslant \operatorname{Var}(\rho)$, and as a consequence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{E}(\rho-1)^{2}=2(1-\mathbf{E} \rho) \leqslant 2 \operatorname{Var}(\rho) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, since $\rho<1 / 2$ implies $(\rho-1)^{2}>1 / 4$, we have, by Chebyshev's inequality,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{0}=\mathbf{P}\{\rho<1 / 2\} \leqslant 8 \operatorname{Var}(\rho) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by the previous step (2.3) with $\xi=\rho_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\varphi_{\rho_{1}}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x=4 \theta_{0}\left|\mathbf{E} \rho_{1}-1\right|+26 \theta_{1} \mathbf{E}\left(\rho_{1}-1\right)^{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right) \varphi_{\rho_{0}}(x) d x=1+\mathbf{E} \rho_{0}^{2} \leqslant \frac{5}{4}
$$

so that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\varphi_{\rho_{0}}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x \leqslant \frac{13}{4} .
$$

From (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7), we now get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\varphi_{\rho}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x \leqslant 26 \operatorname{Var}(\rho)+4\left|\mathbf{E} \rho_{1}-1\right|+26 \mathbf{E}\left(\rho_{1}-1\right)^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It remains to estimate the last two expectations. First suppose that $\operatorname{Var}(\rho) \leqslant 1 / 16$, so that, by (2.6), $\alpha_{0} \leqslant \frac{1}{2}$ and $\alpha_{1} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$. By definition,

$$
\mathbf{E} \rho_{1}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \mathbf{E} \rho 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{1}\right\}}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}\left(\mathbf{E} \rho-\mathbf{E} \rho 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}}\right),
$$

hence

$$
\mathbf{E} \rho_{1}-1=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}\left(\mathbf{E}(\rho-1)-\mathbf{E}(\rho-1) 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}}\right) .
$$

By Cauchy's inequality and applying (2.5) and (2.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\mathbf{E}(\rho-1) 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}}\right| & \leqslant \mathbf{E}|\rho-1| 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}} \\
& \leqslant\left(\mathbf{E}(\rho-1)^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \alpha_{0}^{1 / 2} \leqslant 4 \operatorname{Var}(\rho) \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mathbf{E} \rho_{1}-1\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}\left(|\mathbf{E} \rho-1|+\left|\mathbf{E}(\rho-1) 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}}\right|\right) \leqslant 10 \operatorname{Var}(\rho) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly,

$$
\mathbf{E} \rho_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \mathbf{E} \rho^{2} 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{1}\right\}}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}\left(1-\mathbf{E} \rho^{2} 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}}\right)
$$

so, using $\rho \leqslant 1 / 2$ on $A_{0}$ and applying (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} \rho_{1}^{2}-1 & =\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \mathbf{E}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right) 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}} \mathbf{E}(1-\rho)(1+\rho) 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}} \\
& \leqslant \frac{3}{2 \alpha_{1}} \mathbf{E}|1-\rho| 1_{\left\{\rho \in A_{0}\right\}} \leqslant \frac{3}{2 \alpha_{1}} \cdot 4 \operatorname{Var}(\rho) \leqslant 12 \operatorname{Var}(\rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

Writing $\mathbf{E}\left(\rho_{1}-1\right)^{2}=\left(\mathbf{E} \rho_{1}^{2}-1\right)-2 \mathbf{E}\left(\rho_{1}-1\right)$ and applying (2.10), these estimates yield

$$
\mathbf{E}\left(\rho_{1}-1\right)^{2} \leqslant 12 \operatorname{Var}(\rho)+20 \operatorname{Var}(\rho)=32 \operatorname{Var}(\rho)
$$

It remains to use this bound together with (2.10) in (2.8) in order to arrive at the desired estimate (2.1), i.e.,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\varphi_{\rho}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x \leqslant c \operatorname{Var}(\rho)
$$

with the constant $c=26+4 \cdot 10+26 \cdot 32=918$.
Finally, in the case $\operatorname{Var}(\rho)>1 / 16$, one may just use

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\varphi_{\rho}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x \leqslant \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right) \varphi_{\rho}(x) d x+\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right) \varphi(x) d x \\
=\left(1+\mathbf{E}(\rho Z)^{2}\right)+\left(1+\mathbf{E} Z^{2}\right)=4<64 \operatorname{Var}(\rho) .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, Proposition 2.1 is proved.

## §3. Lower bound. Remarks on the $L^{p}$-Distances

In some sense the bound of Proposition 2.1 is optimal with respect to the variance of $\rho$. At least, this is the case when $\rho$ is bounded, as the following assertion shows (which is however not needed in the proof of Theorem 1.1).

Proposition 3.1. If $\mathbf{E} \rho^{2}=1$ and $0 \leqslant \rho \leqslant M$ a.s., then for the distribution function $\Phi_{\rho}$ of the random variable $Z(\rho)=\rho Z$, where $Z \sim N(0,1)$ is independent of $\rho$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x}\left|\Phi_{\rho}(x)-\Phi(x)\right| \geqslant \frac{c}{M^{5}} \operatorname{Var}\left(\rho^{2}\right), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c>0$ is an absolute constant.
Note that the left-hand side is dominated by the total variation $\| \Phi_{\rho}-$ $\Phi \|_{\text {TV }}$, while $\operatorname{Var}\left(\rho^{2}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{Var}(\rho)$. The latter bound follows from the assumption $\rho \geqslant 0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(\rho^{2}\right)=\mathbf{E}(\rho-1)^{2}(\rho+1)^{2} \geqslant \mathbf{E}(\rho-1)^{2} \geqslant \operatorname{Var}(\rho) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. One may apply the following general lower bound on the Kolmogorov distance

$$
\Delta=\sup _{x}\left|F_{1}(x)-F_{2}(x)\right|
$$

between the distribution functions $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$. Namely,

$$
\Delta \geqslant \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi}}\left|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(f_{1}(t)-f_{2}(t)\right) e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t\right|
$$

where $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ denote the characteristic functions of $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ respectively (cf. [10,15]). We apply it with $F_{1}=\Phi_{\rho}$ and $F_{2}=\Phi$, in which case, by Jensen's inequality,

$$
f_{1}(t)=\mathbf{E} e^{-\rho^{2} t^{2} / 2} \geqslant e^{-t^{2} / 2}=f_{2}(t)
$$

Thus we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\sup _{x}\left|\Phi_{\rho}(x)-\Phi(x)\right| & \geqslant \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2 \pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{E} e^{-\rho^{2} t^{2} / 2}-e^{-t^{2} / 2}\right) e^{-t^{2} / 2} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathbf{E} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\rho^{2}}}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We now expand the function $u(t)=(1+t)^{-1 / 2}$ near the point $t_{0}=1$ according to the integral Taylor formula (2.2) up to the quadratic term. Since $u^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{3}{4}(1+t)^{-5 / 2}$, this gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E} u\left(\rho^{2}\right)-u(1) & =\frac{3}{4} \mathbf{E}\left(\rho^{2}-1\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1}\left(1+\left((1-s)+s \rho^{2}\right)\right)^{-5 / 2}(1-s) d s \\
& \geqslant \frac{3}{4} \operatorname{Var}\left(\rho^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{1}\left(1+\left((1-s)+s M^{2}\right)\right)^{-5 / 2}(1-s) d s \\
& \geqslant \frac{3}{8} \operatorname{Var}\left(\rho^{2}\right)\left(1+M^{2}\right)^{-5 / 2},
\end{aligned}
$$

where we used $M \geqslant 1$ in the last step. It remains to apply (3.3) to arrive at (3.1) with $c=\frac{3}{16 \cdot 2^{5 / 2}}$.

Proposition 2.1 may be used to obtain the (apriori weaker) non-uniform bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{x}\left[\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\Phi_{\rho}(x)-\Phi(x)\right|\right] \leqslant c \operatorname{Var}(\rho) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The appearance of the weight $1+x^{2}$ on the left is important in order to control the $L^{p}$-distances between $\Phi_{\rho}$ and $\Phi$. Indeed, under the same assumptions as in Proposition 2.1, from (3.4) we immediately obtain:

Corollary 3.1. For any $p \geqslant 1$,

$$
\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\Phi_{\rho}(x)-\Phi(x)\right|^{p} d x\right)^{1 / p} \leqslant c \operatorname{Var}(\rho)
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
In particular,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\Phi_{\rho}(x)-\Phi(x)\right)^{2} d x \leqslant c^{2}(\operatorname{Var}(\rho))^{2}
$$

In fact, here the integral on the left-hand side may easily be evaluated explicitly in terms of $\rho$. Indeed, let $\rho^{\prime}$ be an independent copy of $\rho$ in order to represent the square of the characteristic function of $\Phi_{\rho}$ as

$$
\left|\mathbf{E} e^{i t \rho Z}\right|^{2}=\left|\mathbf{E} e^{-\rho^{2} t^{2} / 2}\right|^{2}=\mathbf{E} e^{-\left(\rho^{2}+\rho^{\prime 2}\right) t^{2} / 2}
$$

Hence, applying Plancherel's theorem, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\Phi_{\rho}(x)\right. & -\Phi(x))^{2} d x=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|\frac{\mathbf{E} e^{i t \rho Z}-e^{-t^{2} / 2}}{t}\right|^{2} d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathbf{E} e^{-\left(\rho^{2}+\rho^{\prime 2}\right) t^{2} / 2}-2 \mathbf{E} e^{-\left(\rho^{2}+1\right) t^{2} / 2}+e^{-t^{2}}}{t^{2}} d t
\end{aligned}
$$

One can now apply an elementary identity

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha t^{2}}-e^{-t^{2}}}{t^{2}} d t=2 \sqrt{\pi}(1-\sqrt{\alpha}), \quad \alpha \geqslant 0
$$

Indeed, the function

$$
\psi(\alpha)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-\alpha t^{2}}-e^{-t^{2}}}{t^{2}} d t
$$

is smooth on $(0, \infty)$ and has derivative $\psi^{\prime}(\alpha)=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\alpha t^{2}} d t=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sqrt{\pi}$.
Since furthermore $\psi(1)=0$, we get the desired assertion after integration.
Hence

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(\Phi_{\rho}(x)-\Phi(x)\right)^{2} d x=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left[1-2 \mathbf{E} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^{2}+1}{2}}+\mathbf{E} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^{2}+\rho^{\prime 2}}{2}}\right]
$$

As a result, Corollary 3.2 can be restated as follows.
Corollary 3.2. Let $\rho \geqslant 0$ be a random variable such that $\mathbf{E} \rho^{2}=1$, and let $\rho^{\prime}$ be an independent copy of $\rho$. Then

$$
\left[1-2 \mathbf{E} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^{2}+1}{2}}+\mathbf{E} \sqrt{\frac{\rho^{2}+\rho^{\prime 2}}{2}}\right] \leqslant c(\operatorname{Var}(\rho))^{2},
$$

where $c$ is an absolute constant.
It is unclear how to obtain such an estimate by a different argument (which would not be based on Proposition 2.1).

## §4. Distribution of the First Coordinate on the Sphere

It remains to add the final steps in the proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that with respect to the normalized Lebesgue measure $\sigma_{n-1}$ on the unit sphere $S^{n-1}(n \geqslant 2)$, the first coordinate $\theta_{1}$ of a point $\theta$ is a random variable with density

$$
c_{n}\left(1-x^{2}\right)_{+}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad c_{n}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)},
$$

where $c_{n}$ is a normalizing constant. For example, when $n=3$, this is the uniform distribution on the interval $[-1,1]$.

Let us denote by $\varphi_{n}$ the density of the normalized first coordinate $Z_{n}=$ $\sqrt{n} \theta_{1}$ under the measure $\sigma_{n-1}$, i.e.,

$$
\varphi_{n}(x)=c_{n}^{\prime}\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{n}\right)_{+}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}, \quad c_{n}^{\prime}=\frac{c_{n}}{\sqrt{n}}=\frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\pi n} \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} .
$$

The first values of these constants are $c_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{2}}=0.225 \ldots, c_{3}^{\prime}=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}}=$ $0.289 \ldots, c_{4}^{\prime}=\frac{3}{\pi}=0.318 \ldots, c_{5}^{\prime}=\frac{3}{4 \sqrt{5}}=0.335 \ldots$ Clearly, as $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\varphi_{n}(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} e^{-x^{2} / 2}, \quad c_{n}^{\prime} \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}=0.399 \ldots
$$

and one can show that $c_{n}^{\prime}<\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}$ for all $n \geqslant 2$.
We are interested in non-uniform deviation bounds for $\varphi_{n}(x)$ from $\varphi(x)$. First let us consider the asymptotic behaviour of the functions

$$
p_{n}(x)=\left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{n}\right)_{+}^{\frac{n-3}{2}}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R} .
$$

Clearly, $p_{n}(x) \rightarrow p(x)=e^{-x^{2} / 2}$ for all $x$. These functions admit a uniform Gaussian bound, since for $|x|<\sqrt{n}$ and $n \geqslant 4$,

$$
-\log p_{n}(x)=-\frac{n-3}{2} \log \left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{n}\right) \geqslant \frac{n-3}{2} \frac{x^{2}}{n} \geqslant \frac{x^{2}}{8} .
$$

That is, we have:
Lemma 4.1. If $n \geqslant 4$, then $p_{n}(x) \leqslant e^{-x^{2} / 8}$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$.
We also have $p_{3}(x)=1$ in $|x|<\sqrt{3}$, while $p_{2}(x)$ is unbounded.
To study the rate of convergence of $p_{n}(x)$, let us derive:

Lemma 4.2. In the interval $|x| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n}, n \geqslant 4$,

$$
\left|p_{n}(x)-e^{-x^{2} / 2}\right| \leqslant \frac{0.3}{n}\left(3 x^{2}+x^{4}\right) e^{-x^{2} / 2}
$$

Proof. By Taylor's expansion, with some $0 \leqslant \varepsilon \leqslant 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\log p_{n}(x) & =-\frac{n-3}{2} \log \left(1-\frac{x^{2}}{n}\right) \\
& =\frac{n-3}{2}\left[\frac{x^{2}}{n}+\left(\frac{x^{2}}{n}\right)^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{n}\right)^{k-2}\right] \\
& =\frac{n-3}{2}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{n}+\frac{x^{4}}{n^{2}} \varepsilon\right) \\
& =\frac{x^{2}}{2}-\frac{3 x^{2}}{2 n}+\frac{n-3}{2 n^{2}} x^{4} \varepsilon=\frac{x^{2}}{2}+\frac{x^{2}}{2 n}\left(-3+\frac{n-3}{n} x^{2} \varepsilon\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we assume that $|x| \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{n}$ and $n \geqslant 4$. That is,

$$
p_{n}(x)=p(x) e^{-\delta} \quad \text { with } \quad \delta=\frac{x^{2}}{2 n}\left(-3+\frac{n-3}{n} x^{2} \varepsilon\right) .
$$

Since

$$
\delta \geqslant-\frac{3 x^{2}}{2 n} \geqslant-\frac{3}{8 n} \geqslant-\frac{3}{32}
$$

we have

$$
\left|e^{-\delta}-1\right| \leqslant|\delta| e^{3 / 32} \leqslant 1.1|\delta|
$$

On the other hand,

$$
\delta \leqslant \frac{x^{2}}{2 n}\left(-3+\frac{n-3}{n} x^{2}\right) \leqslant \frac{x^{4}}{2 n}, \quad-\delta \leqslant \frac{3 x^{2}}{2 n}
$$

which yields

$$
1.1|\delta| \leqslant 1.1\left(\frac{3 x^{2}}{2 n}+\frac{x^{4}}{2 n}\right)=\frac{0.55}{n}\left(3 x^{2}+x^{4}\right)
$$

Combining Lemma 4.2 with Lemma 4.1, we also get a non-uniform linear bound (with respect to $1 / n$ ) on the whole real line, namely

$$
\left|p_{n}(x)-e^{-x^{2} / 2}\right| \leqslant \frac{C}{n} e^{-x^{2} / 16}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, n \geqslant 4
$$

where $C$ is an absolute constant. Let us integrate this inequality over $x$. Since

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_{n}(x) d x=\frac{1}{c_{n}^{\prime}}, \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^{2} / 2} d x=\sqrt{2 \pi}
$$

we get that $\left|\frac{1}{c_{n}^{\prime}}-\sqrt{2 \pi}\right| \leqslant \frac{C}{n}$ with some absolute constant $C$. Using Lemmas 4.1-4.2, we then arrive at a similar conclusion about the densities $\varphi_{n}$.

Proposition 4.1. If $n \geqslant 4$, then for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, with some universal constant $C$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{n}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| \leqslant \frac{C}{n} e^{-x^{2} / 16} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assuming (without loss of generality) that $n \geqslant$ 4 , let $\Phi_{n}$ and $\varphi_{n}$ denote respectively the distribution function and density of $Z_{n}=\theta_{1} \sqrt{n}$, where $\theta_{1}$ is the first coordinate of a random point uniformly distributed in the unit sphere $S^{n-1}$. If $\rho^{2}=\frac{1}{n}|X|^{2}$ is independent of $Z_{n}$ $(\rho \geqslant 0)$, then, by the definition of the typical distribution,

$$
F(x)=\mathbf{P}\left\{\rho Z_{n} \leqslant x\right\}=\mathbf{E} \Phi_{n}(x / \rho), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|F(d x)-\Phi_{\rho}(d x)\right|=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\mathbf{E} \Phi_{n}(d x / \rho)-\mathbf{E} \Phi(d x / \rho)\right| \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But, for any fixed value of $\rho$,
$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\Phi_{n}(d x / \rho)-\Phi(d x / \rho)\right|(d x)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+\rho^{2} x^{2}\right)\left|\Phi_{n}(d x)-\Phi(d x)\right|$,
so that, by (4.2), taking the expectation with respect to $\rho$ and using Jensen's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|F(d x)-\Phi_{\rho}(d x)\right| & \leqslant \mathbf{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\Phi_{n}(d x / \rho)-\Phi(d x / \rho)\right| \\
& =\mathbf{E} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+\rho^{2} x^{2}\right)\left|\Phi_{n}(d x)-\Phi(d x)\right| \\
& =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\Phi_{n}(d x)-\Phi(d x)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

It remains to apply (4.1), which yields

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\Phi_{n}(d x)-\Phi(d x)\right|=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(1+x^{2}\right)\left|\varphi_{n}(x)-\varphi(x)\right| d x \leqslant \frac{C}{n}
$$

with some universal constant $C$.
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