

Sketch of pf: simple pole for f at p means, in local coords, say z centered at p ,

$$f(z) = a_{-1}z^{-1} + a_0 + a_1z + \dots$$

If \tilde{z} is another local coord centered at p , then $\tilde{z} = c_1z + c_2z^2 + \dots$

so $\tilde{a}_{-1} = c_1^{-1} a_{-1}$ i.e. $a_{-1} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ defines tangent vector at p independent of choice of coord.

Thus residue at $p \leftrightarrow$ elt. of TX_p .

so have map $\text{Res}: H^0(D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i TX_{p_i}$

$$f \mapsto (\text{res}_{p_1}(f), \dots, \text{res}_{p_d}(f))$$

kernel is holomorphic functions on X , but since X compact these are

constant.

At each TX_{p_i} we have associated $(0,1)$ form

$$\alpha_i = \bar{\partial} \left(\frac{1}{z} \right)$$

Comp. supp. function in nbhd. of p_i

\leftrightarrow linear map $A_i: TX_{p_i} \rightarrow H^{0,1}$

let $\underline{A} = (A_1, \dots, A_d)$ so $\underline{A}: \bigoplus_i TX_{p_i} \rightarrow H^{0,1}$

claim: The following is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \rightarrow H^0(D) \rightarrow \bigoplus_i TX_{p_i} \rightarrow H^{0,1}$$

corollary: By rank-nullity thm. $\dim \ker \underline{A} = d - \dim H^{0,1} + \dim \ker \underline{A}^T$

claim 2: $\dim \ker \underline{A} = h^0(D) - 1$, $\dim \ker \underline{A}^T = h^0(K-D)$.

Here \underline{A}^T acts on dual spaces $(H^{0,1})^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_i TX_{p_i}^*$

See subsequent pages for clarification of sketch below the line

Recall that two lectures ago, having simple pole at $p \in X \iff$

in local coord z centered at p , $\beta \cdot \frac{1}{z}$ merom. function. β : smooth, comp. supp. locally const. at 0

And $\bar{\partial}(\beta \frac{1}{z}) = \underbrace{\bar{\partial}\beta}_{A} \cdot \frac{1}{z}$ is a smooth $(0,1)$ -form on X

From our coord-free point of view: A is linear map from $TX_p \rightarrow H^{0,1}$

Similarly extend to case of d simple poles with linear map

$$\underline{A}: \bigoplus_i TX_{p_i} \rightarrow H^{0,1}$$

claim: The following is an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\text{const.}} H^0(D) \xrightarrow{\text{Res}} \bigoplus_i TX_{p_i} \xrightarrow{\underline{A}} H^{0,1}$$

just need to show $\text{Im}(\text{Res}) = \text{Ker}(\underline{A})$.

this is our previous assertion. for single simple pole. Not hard to modify for d poles.

so if we want a function f on X with simple pole at p , find

smooth g on X st. $g + \beta \frac{1}{z}$ holom. on $X \setminus \{p\}$.

From exact sequence, we have: (identity of \mathbb{C} -vector spaces)

$$\dim \text{Ker } \underline{A} = d - \dim H^{0,1} + \dim \text{Ker } \underline{A}^T \quad (*)$$

(rank-nullity thm in linear algebra, plus fact that

$$\text{rank} = \dim \text{Im } A = \dim H^{0,1} - \dim \text{Ker } \underline{A}^T$$

"left null space" which is orthogonal complement of column space of \underline{A} .

i.e. solve $\bar{\partial}g = -A$
i.e. show $[A] \in H^{0,1} = 0$.

Strictly speaking, \underline{A}^T acts on dual space.

(Given linear transformation $L: V_1 \rightarrow V_2$, then $L^*: V_2^* \rightarrow V_1^*$
 $\phi \mapsto \phi \circ L$

and if we give a basis of V_1, V_2 so that L
 is rep'd by \underline{A} , then $L^* = \underline{A}^T$.)

so $\underline{A}^T: (H^{0,1})^* \rightarrow \bigoplus_i (TX_{p_i})^*$

By corollary of main thm,

$(H^{0,1})^* \simeq H^{1,0}$

using bilinear form:

$\langle \alpha, [\theta] \rangle = \int_X \alpha \wedge \theta$

Re-writing (*), we are close to Riemann-Roch:

From exact sequence

$h^0(D) := \dim H^0(D) = 1 + \dim \ker \underline{A}$

from image of \mathbb{C}

so (*) can be written:

$h^0(D) = d - g + 1 + \dim \ker \underline{A}^T$

$\dim H^{0,1}$

There is an obvious map from

$H^{1,0} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i T^*X_{p_i}$, *the "evaluation map"

ev: $\alpha \mapsto \bigoplus_i \alpha|_{p_i}$

and $\ker(\text{ev}) = H^0(K-D)$. So we're done if

we show ev is const. multiple of \underline{A}^T .

Unwinding definitions, given holom. 1-form θ , compute $\int_X \alpha \wedge \theta$
 (again restrict to case of single point p for D)

$\underline{A}^T: H^{1,0} \rightarrow \bigoplus_i T^*X_{p_i}$

↑ what is this map more precisely?

Want to show $\dim \ker \underline{A}^T$

is $h^0(K-D) =$

dim of space of holom. functions vanishing at D .

so $A = \bar{\partial}\beta \cdot \frac{1}{z}$, a $(0,1)$ -form in local coord z centered at p .

$\theta = f(z) dz$, a $(1,0)$ form

$$\int_{\text{small disk about } 0} \bar{\partial}\beta \cdot \frac{1}{z} \wedge f(z) dz = \int_{\gamma} \beta \cdot \frac{1}{z} f(z) dz$$

or \mathbb{C} since $\text{supp}(\beta)$ small anyway

a 2-form

Stokes' Thm or Cauchy's thm.

boundary of disk about 0 on which $\beta = 1$.

$$= 2\pi i f(0).$$

Res. thm.

Note that $\bar{\partial}\beta \cdot \frac{1}{z} f(z) dz$ is exact since

$$d(h \cdot \theta) = dh \wedge \theta + h d\theta \quad \text{and} \quad d = \partial + \bar{\partial},$$

$$\text{so } d\left(\underbrace{\beta \frac{1}{z}}_h \wedge \underbrace{f(z) dz}_\theta\right) = \underbrace{(\partial + \bar{\partial})\left(\beta \frac{1}{z}\right)}_{\bar{\partial}\left(\beta \frac{1}{z}\right)} \wedge f(z) dz$$

since ∂ produces dz which, when wedged with other dz gives 0.