

Last time, we defined a Riemann surface as 1-dim'l complex manifold

meaning we had a system of charts  $\{ \phi_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow V_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{C} \}$

with  $\bigcup_\alpha U_\alpha = X$

Compatible in complex sense:

$\phi_\beta \circ \phi_\alpha^{-1}$  holomorphic

$\phi_\alpha$ : homeomorphisms

Also forget the cx. structure, viewing  $\text{Re}(\phi_\alpha), \text{Im}(\phi_\alpha)$  as  
chart to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,

gives a 2-dim'l, real, orientable  $C^\infty$ -manifold.

Compact ones classified by genus. (up to diffeomorphism)

Don't yet have a notion of isomorphism of Riemann surfaces,

postpone this to next week - but it's stronger than being

diffeomorphic as  $C^\infty$ -manifolds. We will see examples of <sup>compact</sup> Riemann surfaces

with same genus but which are not isomorphic.

Examples so far started with topology on  $X$ , then formed charts and verified they were homeomorphisms. But need not start with topology in mind.

Can start with  $X$ , countable collection  $\{U_\alpha\}$  covering  $X$

Declare a subset  $U$  of  $U_\alpha$  to be open if  $\phi_\alpha(U) \subset V_\alpha \subset \mathbb{C}$  is open

Then obtain a topology on  $X$  by setting  $U$  to be open (for now arbitrary  $U$ )

iff  $U \cap U_\alpha$  open in  $U_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha$ .

Finally,  $\phi_\alpha$  will be a chart, by definition, if each  $U_\alpha$  is open in  $X$

and  $\phi_\alpha$  is bijective.  $U_\alpha$  is open, by preceding discussion, if  $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$  open in  $U_\alpha \forall \beta$ .

Plan: Given  $X$ , find countable cover  $\{U_\alpha\}$  with bijections  $\phi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow$  some open subset  $V_\alpha$  of  $\mathbb{C}$

- check  $\phi_\alpha(U_\alpha \cap U_\beta)$  is open in  $V_\alpha$
- check charts compatible
- check  $X$  connected, Hausdorff.

Execute this plan in examples:  $\mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \{0,0\} / \sim$  where  $(z,w) \sim (\lambda z, \lambda w)$  for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$

geometrically, this is lines in  $\mathbb{C}^2$  through origin. i.e. 1-dim'l subspaces of  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .

Write coordinates as  $[z:w]$ . Colon meant to suggest ratio, so for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$   $[z:w] = [\lambda z:\lambda w]$

"Complex projective space" denoted  $\mathbb{C}P^1$ .

Let's show it ~~is~~ has the structure of a Riemann surface

$\mathbb{C}P^1$  is isomorphic to Riemann sphere: think  $[z:1]$  as the  $\mathbb{C}$ -plane and  $[0:1:0]$  as the point at infinity. 6

so strategy should look familiar:

$$U_0 = \{ [z:w] \mid z \neq 0 \} \quad \phi_0 [z:w] = w/z \quad \text{clearly bijective}$$

$$U_1 = \{ [z:w] \mid w \neq 0 \} \quad \phi_1 [z:w] = z/w \quad \text{with } U_0 \cup U_1 = X$$

$\phi_i (U_0 \cap U_1) = \mathbb{C}^*$ , open in  $\mathbb{C}$  so  $\phi_i$ 's are charts.

$$\phi_1 \circ \phi_0^{-1} : s \mapsto 1/s \quad \text{so compatible.}$$

-  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  is connected since  $U_0, U_1$  connected,  $U_0 \cap U_1 \neq \emptyset$ . \*

-  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  is Hausdorff since  $U_i$  Hausdorff, so suffices to check

if  $p \in U_0 - U_1$ ,  $q \in U_1 - U_0$ . But then  $p = [1:0]$ ,  $q = [0:i]$

show they are separated by

$$\phi_0^{-1}(D) \text{ and } \phi_1^{-1}(D) \text{ where } D: \text{open unit disk}$$

\*: connectedness preserved under continuous maps:

(will show next week that  $\mathbb{C}P^1$  and  $S^2$  are isomorphic as Riemann surfaces)

Example 2:  $M = \mathbb{Z}\omega_1 + \mathbb{Z}\omega_2$ : lattice in  $\mathbb{C}$  (so  $\omega_1/\omega_2$  not real)

$X = \mathbb{C}/M$ . Then natural projection map  $\pi_M: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow X$

Define topology on  $X$  by declaring  $U$  open if  $\pi_M^{-1}(U)$  open in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

This definition ensures  $\pi_M$  continuous  $\Rightarrow$  since  $\mathbb{C}$  connected,  $X$  is connected.

$\pi_M$  is open since if  $V \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  open,  $\pi_M^{-1}(\pi_M(V)) = \bigcup_{w \in M} (w+V)$  is open

To form charts, note that  $M$  discrete so choose  $\epsilon$  so that

$2\epsilon < |\omega|$  for every  $\omega \in M$ . Then an open ball of radius  $\epsilon$  about any  $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $B(z_0, \epsilon)$ , contains no equivalent points mod  $M$ .

So  $\pi|_{B(z_0, \epsilon)} : B(z_0, \epsilon) \rightarrow \pi(B(z_0, \epsilon))$  continuous, open (since  $\pi$  is) and onto by defn.

charts will be inverses to  $\pi|_{B(z_0, \epsilon)}$ , one-one since  $\epsilon$  chosen small enough.

call them  $\phi_{z_0} : \pi(B(z_0, \epsilon)) \rightarrow B(z_0, \epsilon)$

To check compatibility, given  $\phi_{z_1}, \phi_{z_2}$  let  $T = \phi_{z_2} \circ \phi_{z_1}^{-1}$

on  ~~$\pi(B(z_1, \epsilon)) \cap \pi(B(z_2, \epsilon))$~~   $\phi_{z_1}(\underbrace{\pi(B(z_1, \epsilon)) \cap \pi(B(z_2, \epsilon))}_U)$

$$T(z) = \phi_{z_2}(\pi(z)) \quad \text{so} \quad \pi(T(z)) = \pi(z)$$

$$\Rightarrow T(z) - z \in M \quad \text{for all } z \in \text{~~the intersection~~ } \phi_{z_1}(U)$$

$T(z) - z$  continuous,  $M$  discrete  $\Rightarrow T(z) - z$  constant

i.e. locally  $T(z) = z + \omega$ , which is holomorphic.

on conn. components of  $\phi_{z_1}(U)$ .

Example 3:  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{C}$  region.  $g$  analytic on  $\Omega$ .

$$X = \text{graph of } g = \{ (z, g(z)) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid z \in \Omega \}$$

$X$  inherits subspace topology from  $\mathbb{C}^2$ .  $\pi$ : proj. to first component:

$$X \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \\ (z, g(z)) \mapsto z$$

This makes  $X$  into Riemann surface with one chart.

Ex-4: smooth affine plane curves.

affine plane curve is zero locus of polynomial  $f(z,w)$ ,  $(z,w) \in \mathbb{C}^2$

know from implicit function theorem that if  $p = (z_0, w_0)$  is zero of  $f$

with  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p) \neq 0$  then  $\exists$  nbhd of  $z_0$ , and holom. function  $g(z)$  on nbhd

s.t.  $w = g(z)$  with  $g'(z) = -\partial f / \partial z / \partial f / \partial w$ . Roles of  $z, w$  in  $f(z,w)$  reversible  
so...

$f(z,w)$  is non-singular at  $p = (z_0, w_0)$  if

one of  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial w}(p) \neq 0$  or  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}(p) \neq 0$ . (then locally  $f(z,w) = 0$  is a graph)

$X = \{ (z,w) : f(z,w) = 0 \}$  is smooth if non-singular at all points.

Now use previous example to conclude  $X$  is Riemann surface,  
where charts are either projection onto  $z$  or  $w$

one thing to check: Are proj. to  $z$  and proj. to  $w$  compatible?

$$\pi_z : U_z \rightarrow V_z \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$

$$U = U_z \cap U_w.$$

$$\pi_w : U_w \rightarrow V_w \subseteq \mathbb{C}$$

$$\pi_w \circ \pi_z^{-1} : \pi_z(U) \rightarrow \pi_w(U)$$

$$\pi_z^{-1} : z_0 \mapsto (z_0, g(z_0)), \quad \text{then } \pi_w \circ \pi_z^{-1}(z_0) = g(z_0)$$

$\uparrow$   
 $U$

which is holom.

last thing to check:  $X$  connected. Not true without additional

assumption. Miranda's non-example:  $f(z,w) = (z+w)(z+w-1)$   
whose zero locus is a pair of parallel lines.